

The only principle the greed-ridden people believe in is their self-interests; the other things are like masks they put on their faces.

Heartily accepting and respecting a principle is a sign of honesty.

For example, when you accept human rights orally and respect them practically, you are an honest advocate of the UDHR. But if one admits human rights orally and disrespects them practically, it is a deception.

Such fraud and hypocritical manner are more dangerous than a sincere ideology-ridden who denies the UDHR barefacedly.

The latest mask, which is very popular among Capitalists, is the pretence to respect the environment, accept sustainable growth, and fight against climate change.

Consider this utterance: *“Climate change is a global challenge that needs international collaboration.”*<sup>1</sup>

Such a statement could be expressed by any reasonable human, for instance, any scientist, environmental activist or the UN authority. But it is the pretense of SEB, a Swedish bank or, as it claims, *“The leading Nordic commercial bank.”*

In 2019, SEB, with other banks, participated in the UN's initiative pace toward a sustainable future according to the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement.

---

<sup>1</sup> SEB's website [sebgrouop.com/press/news/]

*“SEB has committed to complying with the UN initiative Principles for Responsible Banking. This means that the bank undertakes to continuously strengthen its positive impact on society in accordance with the UN Development Goals.”<sup>2</sup>*

But such a commitment to comply with *“the UN initiative Principles for Responsible Banking”* contradicts the principle of "Profit's Authenticity" as essential for any bank's activities.

Profit or saving the earth, which is a bank's priority?

Of course, the principle of "profit's authenticity" annuls other regulations and promises.

SEB's website about UNEP FI (the United Nations Environment Programme's Finance Initiative) says:

*“We welcome this initiative, which provides a common roadmap for how we can contribute to a sustainable development. Climate change is a global challenge that needs international collaboration. Here we all have an important role to play —politicians, businesses and people at large. By committing to the UNEP FI Principles for Responsible Banking we take yet another step to strengthen our sustainability work and contribute to the international climate transition. This is favourable both for us, our customers and society,” says Johan Torgeby, President and CEO of SEB.”*

In 2021, Sweden's TV4 examined SEB's commitment to the United Nations Environment Programme's Finance Initiative.

Channel 4's program is called *“The Cold Facts”*, an editorial staff that explores and reveals the wrongdoing of politicians, those in power and societal phenomena.

---

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

The Cold Facts in February 2021 was dedicated to SEB and its investment in the coal industry in Germany. TV4 about that program explained so on their website:

*“In 2019, SEB's CEO will sign an agreement regarding responsible banking that intends to pressure world leaders to stop the climate threat. A year later, it appears that SEB continues to lend billions to some of the world's biggest climate criminals.”*

During 44 minutes, the journalists of "Cold Facts", with facts and figures, proved SEB —despite its commitment to the UNEP FI Principles for Responsible Banking— lent money to Uniper and RWE AG in Germany, two notorious companies that burn coal for electricity generation.

The first company refused to interview TV4's journalists, but Mr Guido Steffen, the spokesman of RWE AG, appeared in front of Cold Facts' camera.

SEB's lending to RWE caused them to start to destroy five villages because of a massive coal mine under their soil.

Some people who lived in those villages didn't want to leave their properties to RWE AG.

The journalist Hokan Enmark asked the spokesman of RWE: *“Will you force the family to move with no free will?”*

Guido Steffen answered: *“We don't want to [do that]. So,*

*permission gives us the right to dig coal here [in five villages] and also to have villages resettle in this place [a new-build settlement far from five villages]. So practically, we can ask the authorities to expropriate families' homes."*

In Minute 35 of the program, Mr Guido Steffen says:  
*"Climate change is a very very important issue, probably the most important issue we have in this world. And I am glad to work in a company that contributes so much to the transition of the energy system."*

Mr Guido Steffen practically tries to displace people from their homes because, under their properties, there is coal. Still, simultaneously he boasts about his company's contributions to the energy system transition with the demands of climate change.

Despite Mr Steffen's apparent hypocrisy, his statements put forward a few questions:

1. Which authority, according to which logic, did permit RWE AG to dig for coal in people's properties after the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement?
2. Which law does allow the inhabitants of five villages to be forced to leave their houses for the interests of a big company?
3. Who is responsible for the stress and anxiety imposed on people who lived their life before discovering coal under their houses?

4. Why are people within the Real System —like Mr Steffen— so shameless?

One old lady who didn't want to leave her house said: *“Even during the war, nobody forced us to leave our house.”*

This is Real Democracy, a subset of Realpolitik where Unwisdom and Anti-wisdom dominate. Morality is absent, and everything has been designed to deal with the interests of a tiny minority.

As you see in this example, Capitalists impose their Order via Semi-jungle Law upon people.

Shame is a noble sense that emanates from morality; when somebody is amoral or immoral, he tells lies without hesitation.

One shameless Capitalist or a Real Politician can stand with you in an open field where the Sun shines on his face; he denies the existence of the Sun if his self-interests demand it.

The directive that permitted RWE AG to dig for coal in people's properties is non-law because it deprives a group of humans of their rights.

In the Real System, "Right" doesn't define the law.

If "Right" defines the law, the asserted rights in the UDHR should be the essence of any legislated law in human society.

For instance, five villages' inhabitants have these rights in the UDHR:

**Article 13.**

*(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each state.*

**Article 17.**

*(1) Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.*

*(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.*

If we had "True Democracy", RWE AG couldn't have permission that allowed that company to *"ask the authorities to expropriate families' homes."* In the True System, such behaviour is illegal because it contradicts Human Rights.

But in the Real System, everything is possible because the big companies and banks define the rights of ordinary humans via Semi-jungle Laws.

In the Real System, there is no "Preventive Justice". If it was, RWE AG couldn't impose injustice upon people and force them to defend their *"right to own property alone as well as in association with others."*

Lack of "Preventive Justice" caused RWE AG to borrow money from the Swedish bank SEB, compelled the settlers of those five villages to run after "Compensatory Justice", of course, if they could win against a giant company.

A question: Why and how could RWE AG and SEB bank do so?

Its answer: They complied with the logic of the Jungle that says: I can do it, so I do it, no matter if my act violates someone's rights.

The mere problem is not that the Capitalists suffer from a jungle-ridden mentality. On the opposite side, ordinary citizens also suffer from the same jungle-ridden mentality because they accept the sovereignty of Capitalists and permit wealthy and powerful men to violate their rights.

In the jungle, weaker animals like deer are obliged to submit their "Right to Life" to a predator like a lion because of the body supremacy of the lion that represents itself in sharp claws and teeth.

In human society, body strength no longer gives dominance. A man doesn't need to have lion-like sharp claws and teeth to deprive you and me of our rights. He can do what a lion does with weaker animals in a jungle, with us, with his money.

Owners of RWE AG who destroy five villages for coal mines under their soil have no actual sharp claws and teeth, but instead of razor-like sharp claws and teeth, they have alleged "law", which is sharper than any razor. Due to a lack of knowledge about the meaning of "law", ordinary humans are forced to buy non-law(unjust law) instead of True Law.

The Capitalists, by de-intelligizing humans, impose their wills upon people via non-laws.

Real Journalists and Public Relation agencies play critical roles in de-intelligizing and manipulating people's minds.

Also, Scholastic Philosophy, by its neglect, participates in the process of de-intelligizing willy-nilly.

The philosophy's negligence perpetuates the jungle mentality among us.

By giving impunity to mighty men, we surrender to the principle of the "share of the lion" that dominates in the jungle.

A human is born with personal and Social Responsibility. According to the logic of life, Responsibility must be increased when a man participates in the leadership of society. Still, it disappears by the name of the law that is indeed the non-law.

Impunity of leaders stops purification in the Real System and its Realpolitik. Because of the absence of purification, the Establishment of the Real System remains intact despite True Journalists' attempts to investigate and reveal politicians' corruption and wrongdoings.

The maximum outcome of journalists' revelation of a scandal is resigning of one or a few politicians.

What does it matter for a big bank or a big company if a corrupt politician resigns?

Many other unwise politicians are in the queue and desire to take resigned politicians' place and serve the big banks and companies' interests because Realpolitik is a hotbed for a generation of corrupt politicians.

Before the internet era, the expansion of investigative journalists' reveals was limited to time and place.



In a country like Germany, you couldn't watch a tv program shown in Sweden. Even in Sweden itself, you should be a tv watcher on time to see a program. If you missed watching it on time, you had no more chances to watch it again.

The internet lifted those restrictions. For example, when The Guardian wrote about the "*Straw and Rifkind scandal*" in February 2015, I read that article and watched its related video online in Sweden.

In that revelation, two "*Former foreign secretaries offered to use their political influence on behalf of a fictitious Chinese firm set up by Channel 4's Dispatches.*"

The examination and revelatory corruption by True Journalists impact people but do not generate changes in the "Real System" because of the theoretical perplexity we face.

True Journalism works as humanity's sensory system. True Journalists, like a man's five senses(eyes, skin, ears, smell and taste), gather vital information for the mind of humanity.

But humanity's mind is off, and neither can analyse the valuable information nor show a suitable reaction because of the Unwisdomness of the Real System.<sup>3</sup>

For instance, the attempts of the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists(ICIJ), with more than 650 reporters taking part since 2014, disclosed:

---

<sup>3</sup> The gathered information is precious. The True Journalists sometimes pay the information's fees with their lives.

The Pandora Papers (2021), the FinCen Files(2020), the Paradise Papers(2017), the Panama Papers (2016) and Luxembourg Leaks(2014).

Besides ICIJ, many other investigative journalists continuously examine and reveal the Politicians' Corruption and financial scandals of the big companies and banks.

In the 21st century, humans are more aware of the misleading of their societies by the governments, and their trust in Politics and Politicians has decreased.<sup>4</sup>

Still, despite this fact, we cannot see any essential change in Realpolitik and its Establishment.

At least those politicians who claim "Justice" is their concern and are gathered in political parties must take "injustice" seriously.

Political parties like Democrats in the USA, Labour in the UK, Social Democrats in European countries, Greens in different countries, and ex-communists must do something to change the actual course. Still, it seems all of them have been paralysed.

## **Importance of Prevention**

The phrase "*prevention is better than cure*" is often attributed to Desiderius Erasmus(1466 –1536), a Dutch theologian and Catholic priest.

---

<sup>4</sup> Distrust of Realpolitik and their classical political parties shows itself in the appearance of more Populist politicians and the different conspiracy theories.