

Right and Might

**An Introduction to the
Philosophy of Right**

By:

Bahman Azadfar

Open Access Statement- **Please Read**

This is the electronic version of the book and it is open access. This means that you **can**:

- Read and store this document free of charge
- Distribute it for personal use free of charge
- Print it for personal use. However, you **cannot**:
- Gain financially from the work in anyway
- Sell the work or seek money in relation to the distribution of the work
- Use the work in commercial activity of any kind
- Profit a third party indirectly via use or distribution of the work
- Distribute the work in or through a commercial body (with the exception of academic usage in educational institutions such as schools and universities)

Right and Might

An Introduction to the Philosophy of Right

By Bahman Azadfar

Published 2015

© 2015, www.mapofway.com

ISBN978-91-981311-3-0

To:

My fellow citizens, the citizens of the Earth

Contents

Preface	1
I. The secret to human survival	2
Another extreme climate change again.....	3
Right itself	7
Might itself	8
II. Derivation of “Right” and “Might”	9
A - Instincts	10
Human’s destructive instincts	12
B - Thoughts	13
“Thoughts” cause inductive movement	13
Thoughts as wisdom	15
The supremacy of wise thoughts	17
The supremacy of instincts	18
III. Worldview	20
The Essence of worldview	20
Reason for worldview	20
The meaning of life	22
The perpetual life	23
Happiness	23
IV. The practice steps	26
Step 1: Good Thoughts	26
Step 2: Good Deeds	27

Step 3: Calmness or peace	27
Step 4: Progress on “permanent developing route”	27
Step 5: Circumstance Zero	28
Step 6: Immortality	29
Step 7: Godhood	31
V. Arms of “Might”	33
Weakness of “Might”	34
Weakness of humans who desire “Right”	38
Life.....	45
Right	45
Freedom	46
Justice	47
Law	47
Wisdom	47
Responsibility	48
Politics	48
Politician	49
Democracy	49
VI. Difficulty of definitions in a “Might-pivot worldview”.....	50
“Freedom” means choosing from the chosen things	51
“Justice” is meaningless	52
“Law” means rules of “Might”	52

“Responsibility” means irresponsibility.....	53
“Politics” means Realpolitik	54
“Politician” means politics-player	54
“Democracy” means Might-crazy	55
VII. Time to change our behavior	56
Disappointment	57
Hope	60
VIII. Time for uprising!	62
Immunity and Rights	62
The background of immunity	65
The immunity and corruption	67
Abolition of immunity to prosecution	73
Why are the rights of an individual person so important?	74
The effect of abolition of immunity on Greed-ism	76
IX. The pattern of the New Globalization	81
Dream and reality	83

Preface

This is a philosophical suggestion to mankind for solving our increasing problems on Earth.

Everywhere, I see people who want their rights, but they cannot achieve them. Why is that?

This question occupied my mind from the summer of 1979 to the autumn of 2012 when I at last found its answer:

We are born into an atmosphere of “Might” and live in it. Everything is defined in that atmosphere and we try to achieve “Right” with wrongful definitions, in the wrong atmosphere. It is impossible!

If we step out of the atmosphere of “Might” and look at the world, we can see things from a new perspective. The alternative to the atmosphere of “Might” is the atmosphere of “Right” where I could redefine the concepts that often are incorrectly defined within the atmosphere of “Might”. The distorted definitions did not let me find any answers to the questions that haunted my mind for such a long time.

When I moved from the atmosphere of “Might” into the atmosphere of “Right”, I could see life differently. Also the concepts that I had problems to define changed their characteristics and allowed me not only to understand them separately but also to see their relationships clearly.

Sooner or later, our species will be confronted with a difficult choice: continuing the course of “Might” and be heading toward extinction or changing the course and with it be saving life on Earth with the help of “Right”.

Philosophy of “Right” is only a suggestion for the saving of life on Earth, and a map for the pursuit of perpetual happiness.

July 2015

Sweden

Bahman Azadfar

Chapter I

The secret to human survival

Our species, Homo sapiens, is the only remainder of a line of animals that once lived on Earth and we give them the prefix “Homo”. The others became extinct but we remained, because we had two abilities that they did not: the ability to adapt to extreme climate changes and the ability to cooperate. These are our secrets to surviving.

Modern humans are the last to respond to alternate extreme climate changes that occurred from 7,000,000 to 200,000 years ago. Between our ancestor Sahelanthropus tchadensis with a 350 cc brain size and us Homo sapiens with 1350 cc, we can see the changes in brain size as a reaction to the highly fluctuating environment in the Rift Valley, East Africa that shifted between intense rainfall, dry and arid environment. We usually call creatures’ adaptation to their environment for “*evolution*”.

The increasing brain size brought more intelligence and better tools for apes that wanted to survive. However, an increasing brain size also had its limits, because bigger brains needed more energy that also meant more calories, or in other words, more food. Food itself was the main problem and cause of our evolution.

The ultimate brain size did not belong to Homo sapiens but to our cousin Homo neanderthalensis (Neanderthal) with 1450 cc that was about 100 cc bigger than ours. Nevertheless such a big brain could not save the Neanderthals from extinction approximately 30,000 years ago.

About 200,000 years ago when we appeared in Rift Valley, we learned to change something else: **our behavior**. We were highly flexible when it came to coping with extreme climate changes. The changing of our behavior was the most practical and least expensive measure that our ancestors could take. Our intense relationship and internal cooperation gave us the possibility to change ideas inside our group and make better decisions; then we enforced them together. Therefore, our ancestors could adapt to extreme climate changes and pass their genes onto us.

Our collective wisdom covered our brains' limits and our team-work let us overcome the restrictions in terms of our own bodies' strength. Our effective social network was a privilege that gave us ability to adapt to all climates. When we felt ready to leave Africa, a little group of us ventured out about 75,000 years ago and went to Asia and later on to other parts of the world. I call that event "**circumstance zero**" because it was the real start in human history that let us to expand to almost every corner of the planet Earth and prove our ability to adapt to different climates.

In the one million years before the modern humans' emergence in Rift Valley their ancestors left Africa for other continents, but they failed because they left Africa without being able to adapt to environmental changes that resulted from periodical climate changes, especially the changes extremer forms which occur every 400,000 years or so. Lack of flexibility in their behavior and weak social network drove the human ancestors to their extinction.

Another extreme climate change again

Now, after 70,000 years adaptation to all sorts of environments, we are confronted with an extreme climate change that is the result of human's activities. This human-made climate change is premature, because despite the regulated climate changes that follows the laws of physics and is

almost predictable, this one is unexpected. The new extreme climate change occurs at a time when we are not ready to cope with it. We must again show our ability to change our behavior to adapt to the new demands of our environment.

This time the main cause of extreme climate change emanates from a conflict between human thoughts inside her skull, and in her hands, or in other words a dangerous gap between our wisdom and our science and its child: *technology*.

Our “*common sense*” is thousands of years back than our technology with which we now are destroying not only nature, but also making “*Artificial Intelligence*”. It means, if climate change does not kill us, our artificially intelligent machinery will eliminate humans from Earth.

For circumventing these imminent dangers we need to revise our measures that have been in accordance with “*Might*”, and shift them to “*Right*”.

“*Might*” encompasses all sorts of powers, from physical strength to the economic power that humans can use to deprive others (humans, animals and plants) of their rights.

“*Might*” is one of our animal-inheritances that we carried to our humanity and upon its use we made our first civilization about 12,000 years ago. We have lived within the “*atmosphere of Might*” during all of our history but we cannot further remain inside it. We cannot try to solve our unique modern problems within the “*atmosphere of Might*” because “*Might*” itself, is the root of our increasing problems.

“*Right*” is often familiar to us, in form of human rights but it is vaster. “*Right*” in general and “*Human Rights*” especially are a luxury decorative concept that uses as a tool by enforcers of “*Might*” (including governments) in order to put pressure upon each other in the “*atmosphere of Might*”.

To be staying inside of the “atmosphere of Might” or most used synonym of it, in this book: a “Might-pivot worldview”, is so natural that even when we feel that we need some deeper changes, we try to find or create an alternative within the “atmosphere of Might” in order to fight against other sort of “Might” that it has been causing troubles for us. For this reason all through human history we have shifted from one form of “Might” to another. Something in these changes is still remaining permanent and that is “Might” itself. We even have tried to achieve “Human Rights” within the “atmosphere of Might”. Of course this is nothing but an illusion.

“*Realpolitik*” works as “*Might’s political branch*”. However “*Realpolitik*” was forced to sign the **Universal Declaration of Human Rights** (UDHR) under the pressure of anti-Nazism after World War II, but never seriously wanted to comply with UDHR.

Now, human rights violations world-wide are worse than they were a few decades ago. Everywhere you can find sufficient evidence of reluctance from different governments to respect human rights.

Also think about backwardness in social justice during these last few decades. Just one of these social injustices, namely corruption kills 3,600,000 human each year in developing countries.

All evidence proves that the “*Might-pivot worldviews*” are in their ultimate nadir and that they are incapable of finding an outlet for accumulated problems and troubles that “Might” has created in human history, especially after the industrial revolution.

Our insistence on remaining within the “atmosphere of Might” and finding solutions to the problems that emanated from “Might” resembles our insistence on remaining in Newton’s physics for understanding the orbit of Mercury.

During the nineteenth century, astronomers noticed something strange about Mercury’s orbit. They tried, using Newton’s laws of gravity, to

calculate Mercury's orbit. The astronomers predicted the exact time when they could look up to the sky, look at the sun and see the tiny disc of Mercury pass across its face. The thing was that they never got it right. They predicted it time and time again, and every time it happened they got it slightly wrong, which was an immense embarrassment to astronomers. They did not question Newton's physics because it worked on the other planets orbits. Instead, they invented another planet that they name "*Vulcan*". They said that there must be another planet somewhere in the solar system which is always invisible from Earth but which disturbed Mercury's slightly. Therefore their calculations were wrong.

The astronomers created an illusion and they named it *Vulcan*. Then for decades they searched for a planet that did not exist.

Almost 200 years after Newton's death, a new theory emerged. The new theory, called General Relativity, was published in 1916 by Albert Einstein. It was able to explain with absolute precision the strange behavior of Mercury, but it now also explains to this day, everything we can see out there in the universe that has anything to do with gravity.

The "atmosphere of Might" like the atmosphere of Newton's physics is incapable of explaining our situation in the Universe. Like astronomers (before Einstein) we are stunned by strange things that happen around us. Then we just like the astronomers create illusions using the "atmosphere of Might" in the hope they could solve complicated problems that increases year after year.

We need to consider the world and humans out of the "atmosphere of Might", from the top of the mountain of "Right" where we can see a different view that can help us to confront our problems face to face and find new ways to prove again our species capability to another deep changing in our behavior.

The move from "Might" to "Right" will give us a new opportunity to adapt ourselves to another extreme climate change that has already started.

Almost all phenomena in our civilization have been defined in the “*atmosphere of Might*” and according to such definitions the relationship among us (humans) was established. Now it is necessary to redefine all of them, this time in the “*atmosphere of Right*” and accordingly redefine concepts in order to establish a new relationship among humans.

Right itself

Right means “**live and let the others live**”. Life is the basis of right. “Right” is a concept of life that takes shape in the human’s mind by understanding the entity of life when he actively thinks about it.

The first “Right” of every animal is the “Right to life”, because each animal in order to think needs to be alive and to have sufficient wisdom to be thinking about life and its aspects. Without the sufficient wisdom an animal will not be able to discover the other rights which all starts with the “Right of life”.

All rights vanish when an animal dies. Then: “**Right**” starts with “**Life**”, is discovered by using “**Thoughts**” and finishes with “**Death**”.

Of all animals, humans are the only ones who have the ability to think deeply enough. The “*Right of life*” was discovered by humans and it became a matter of great importance to them. The other animals are just living without any notion about their “*Right of life*”. The lack of consciousness about “Right of life”, make the animals incapable of extracting their other rights from the “Right of life”.

Among humans the discovery of human rights depends on the quantity and dimensions of the human wisdom. Only wise persons with their ability for deep thinking can extract the other rights from “Right of life”. The wise person, after discovering new rights, often tries to enlighten other humans because he feels a responsibility towards others. The wise person responsibility emanates from his or her wisdom.

“Life” and “Wisdom” are two necessary ingredients of “Right”. Lack of any of these mean no “Right”. Without life there is no “Right”, and without “Wisdom” there is no ability to understand the “Right of life” and extract any human rights from it.

Might itself

“*Might*” is the sum of different forces and powers that together give their owners abilities with which they in turn use to favor their own interests and to deprive others from their rights. “Might” says: *I live and enjoy my life but the life of others shall be dependent to my desires and will.*

“Might” started when the first animal killed and ate another animal. Ever since then “Might” has been a tool used to confiscate the “Right of life”. Whereas without life there are no other rights, “Might” has since the start been the antagonist of “Right”.

Where “Might” dominates “Right” is in danger, because “Might” can destroy “Right”, whenever it wants.

Animals’ *destructive instincts* are the birthplace of “Might”. Humans have inherited *destructive instincts* from their animal ancestors and brought them to humanity.

Whereas the human is a strange composition of animal instincts and humanitarian thoughts and both of them exist inside the human skull. The human brain is a place where it seems these two should live peacefully side by side, however in practice it is not. Because, if one of them would take control of the brain’s realm, and the other would surrender all supremacy to the winner. The competition for which is going to take control, causes turbulence in the human mind, with its outer performance visible in humans complicated behaviors. Where “Might” and “Right” force humanity to fluctuate between “War” and “Peace” as the ultimate contest of “Might” and “Right”.

Chapter II

Derivation of “Right” and “Might”

“Might” and “Right” respectively emanates from “Destructive instincts” and Wisdom (thoughts) inside the human skull. Both instincts and thoughts are a result of the brains function towards a single aim; protecting human life and allowing the species to reproduce.

Outside of our brain, there is cosmos. We must regulate our relationship with it in order to live. Our main problem is our confusion. We are too confused to choose our instincts or our thoughts as our representative when dealing with cosmos. The instincts or thoughts, this is our question!

“Instincts” is the oldest part of the human brain. Wisdom works as the highest form of thoughts and is the result of activities within the frontal lobe that is the most modern part of the human brain. According to logic, wisdom shall regulate human’s relationship with the cosmos, because it is the most advanced function of our brain. But in practice “destructive instincts” the most primitive form of leadership regulates human’s relationship to the cosmos.

The incompetence of instincts and their outer form “Might” as a leader of the human community, resembles a coup d’état against human wisdom and its achievement of “Right”. That coup d’état has imposed upon humans a war against nature whose result has been clear from the very beginning: *the defeat of the human species and its extinction.*

How and why “*Destructive instincts and Might*” could overcome “*Wisdom and Right*”, and how we can dismiss the first ones and retrieve the second ones, is the most important questions and tasks for the human species.

A-Instincts

When for the first time an organism could feed, reproduce and evolve, life started. The creature kept and archived the information and experiences in a place that we now know as DNA that is where we find the instructions for life. When the single-cell organism divided itself, it shared its chromosomes and DNA.

Later when life evolved, the producing of new animal enhanced from dividing cells to breeding. With this evolution the instructions for living evolved to the instincts that were transmitted from parents to children.

The instincts were compounds of the vital instructions that helped animals to survive in a hostile environment where only powerful animals could live.

The animals with the strong muscles and a sufficient “*Rapacious Instinct*” could survive without any help from their fellow animals because they could care for themselves. But weaker animals needed their fellow animals to compensate for their individual weakness. Such groups needed a “**selfless instinct**” that as the transcendent instinct gave better protection for the group and increased the chances for each individual to survive.

In animals like the dolphins, dogs and humans the “*selfless instinct*” spread to other species. **The “*instinct to care about other species*” is the most transcendent instinct that beside of “*selfless instinct*” has taken form as *natural morals* in human.**

The “**selfless instinct**” as a core of natural morals is familiar to us as “*Conscience*”. It is the innermost part of human’s morality that controls her or his behavior. When a man infringes its instructions, “*guilty conscience*” works as a punishment.

The instincts reside in the central nervous system of animals where the automatic vital actions like breathing and the beating of the heart made possible the life.

However, within the inherited instincts existed minimal instructions to as what animals needed them, for survive. The real situation, in the environment where animals lived in it, demanded more complicated actions for surviving, in competition with other animals and harsher environments. The processing of actual information beside inherited instincts was created in the primitive brain inside the central nervous system where automatic vital actions and instincts placed, millions of years before the brain took its shape.

The growth of instincts and also the changing of animals' bodies' physical sizes and forms, were limited. But despite them, the brain could develop in size and form.

Seven million years ago, climate changes in Eastern Africa forced some apes to change their behavior which triggered an evolving in their bodies and brains sizes. A chain of evolution in the apes that we call Hominids began with *Sahelanthropus tchadensis* with a skull with 350 cc capacities, to Homo sapiens (modern human) with 1350 cc. The growing of brain size permitted Hominids to adapt to climate change in East Africa over millions of years.

Modern human is the last link in this chain of evolution, with a big brain that does the task of running the “**automatic vital actions**”, “**instincts**” and “**thinking**”.

Despite the human brains big size, and its sophisticated mechanism, yielding control of human destiny to “instincts” is against evolution. The order of nature and expansion of life caused evolution within the human brain. The frontal lobe of brain has the best ability for thinking. It emerged as the most modern part of the brain and logically it should lead the brain because “thoughts” is the result from processing actual information that it gathers from the senses. The supremacy of “thoughts” over “instincts” and its old instructions; is a “vested right” of “thoughts” and it is adjusted through evolution.

“Instincts” as the older parts of our brain should obey the frontal lobe and its production: “thoughts”.

Human’s destructive instincts

The *Rapacious Instinct* is the only instinct in human that allows him to kill other animals in order to protect his own life. In the wild where each animal is either predator or prey, the inevitable result of living is: “*Kill or be killed*”. All instincts manage the human body’s actions and reactions with hormones. Hormones are used to communicate between organs and tissues to regulate physiological and behavioral activities.

In the *Rapacious Instinct*, the human body would transform into a *Destructive Machine* with one specific mission: *Destroy the opponent*.

But in humans the other instincts with harmless tasks, deform and divert to the will of the destructive instincts.

The “*instinct to gather food*” for times of shortage, is visible in animals like ants. This instinct in humans can deform to **greed**. Also the “*sexual instinct*” that is necessary for procreation of animals, in humans has deformed to **lechery**. These two diverted instincts join the “Rapacious Instinct” and together they make “destructive instincts” that is the core of “*Might*”.

“Destructive instincts” as the moving engine of “*Might*” have dominated human history. War is the ultimate violence of “*Might*”. At war, we burn all human rights in the furnace of “destructive instincts”.

The main question is: Why have human’s thoughts, as the most advanced part of brain, permitted not only the Rapacious Instinct to take control, but also two other vital instincts diverted from ordinary harmless tasks to change into destructive ones?

-This question will be answered later.

B-Thoughts:

“Thoughts” is the process of information that is gathered by the human senses from the outer world to the human brain.

The humans’ ancestors, millions of years before the modern humans appeared in East Africa, could process the information that was sent to their brains by different senses. However, in the modern human brain that process became more sophisticated, because humans complicated brain structure permitted him to achieve a higher level of multi aspects-process that was impossible to humans ape ancestors to reach even to its threshold.

“Thoughts” cause inductive movement

Induction is an ability to generate an electromotive force in a closed circuit by a varying magnetic flux through the circuit. The human brain works by using electricity. For that reason the brain follows the laws of physics. The information passes between brains cells is by the current of electricity. The generated electricity in the human brain can power an electric light bulb. The current of electricity also causes a magnetic field. Around the skull there is a measurable magnetic field.

When we think about a subject, its relative information expands on the desktop of the brain which then processes it. Assessment of information correctness and comparing its details gives us the possibility to make decisions.

For example: Anna is a student. She will perform in her schools theater. She has to hold an apple in her hand on stage during performance. When the performance is over, she can eat it. She goes to buy an apple. There are apples of different types, sizes, colors and prices. She puts all of the

information about apples on the desktop of her brain, or in other words, on a virtual cylinder, that she prioritized in accordance to her favorite kind. She sorts the apples information according of their different attributes: best to worst color, biggest to smallest, best to worst taste and least to most expensive.

She can prioritize her needs. She needs a typical apple because people who watch the performance should not have any difficulty recognizing it. A big red apple can do that task. She must consider the apples taste and price because she wants to eat the apple after the performance and as a student she cannot afford an expensive one.

She writes on her brains virtual cylinder the attributes of the apples from left to right and from line to line. She puts in on the most left side the positive attributes and in the most right side; the negative ones. Then because of current of electricity that connects the brains cells to each other, she has a cylinder with two poles with two different charges “+” to” –“. This cylinder would work like a rotor inside a magnet field in her skull. The “magnet field” with its inductive effect, forces the cylinder to rotate and causes “Inductive Movement”.

Out of the human skull, this physics principle is the basis of all AC motors work that is being utilized vastly both in personal and industrial usages.

But inside of the human brain, all the time, the virtual cylinders form and generate “Inductive Movement”. Even a simple act like reading a newspaper headline to a friend engages different parts of our brain that create different sorts of cylinders among those parts.

The divided task for an activity in the brain has two advantages. When one part of brain is damaged all possibility for enforcement of the one ability does not completely vanish. Some parts of that ability survive in other parts of the brain. The second reason is to let forming cylinders (rotors) between different parts of the brain and the “magnetic field” of the brain and that generates “Inductive Movement” that in turn provokes curiosity.

Curiosity means for the brain that the senses should fetch raw materials for us to think about. Curiosity shall make us humans move. Inductive Movement also provokes other feelings that will force humans to gather information. Boredom, variety seeking, and adventure are “auxiliary feelings” that make human restless and force him to do something. The curiosity, variety seeking, and the adventure, compel human to seek new experiences that lead to gather new information.

Inductive Movement by provoking curiosity and its allied feelings puts huge pressure on both instincts and thoughts inside the brain. The reactions of “instincts” and “thoughts” to pressures of “Inductive Movement” and their ability to cope with pressures defines humans destiny. One of them should command the reactions to pressures of “Inductive Movement”. If “instincts” takes the leadership of the brain “Might” will be born, but if “thoughts” could promote to the level of “Wisdom” and gain command over the brains realm, “Right” would be the result.

Thoughts as wisdom

Wisdom is organized thoughts used to understand the meaning of life through the discovering of “Right of Life”. Then wisdom can extract human rights from the “Right of Life”. Wisdom itself, in order to survive, needs to create a “Right-pivot worldview”. For creation of any “Right-pivot worldview” the meaning of life and “Right of Life” are necessary.

“The meaning of life” regulates individual human’s relationships with cosmos and explains why she or he is on the Earth. “Right of Life” regulates individual relationships with other humans by defining each human’s rights. This in order to makes it easier for them to live together with peace and justice.

“Right-pivot worldview” is like a way. “The meaning of life” and “Right of Life” makes the two edges of that way where wisdom can run “Inductive Movement” on.

If wisdom is defeated for the creation of a “Right-pivot worldview”, there is no route for the “Inductive Movement” to unleash its kinetic energy. In this circumstance “the destructive instincts” respond to Inductive Movements pressure and leads it to “Might-pivot worldviews”.

The existence of wisdom depends on its ability to:

- 1- Understand concept of life (=Right).
- 2- Find meaning for life.
- 3- Create a “Right-pivot worldview”.

If wisdom could fulfill these tasks, it could be alive, otherwise, wisdom would lose its character and be demoted to “*illusory thoughts*” and be manipulated by “Might” (=Destructive Instincts) as a master of the brain.

The combination of destructive instincts with thoughts is very dangerous and makes monsters out of humans. From the other side, combination of selfless instinct with wisdom as best sort of “thoughts” that makes humans what they should be: angels!

“Inductive Movement” does not permit the human brain to remain empty of worldviews because the kinetic energy of “Inductive Movement” needs and demands a route for unloading itself on it.

“*Thoughts*” without a “*Right-pivot worldview*” are confusing. That confusion causes “*thoughts*” to fall into the bosom of “*Destructive Instincts*” that in turn would manipulate “*thoughts*” and abuse them as a tool in the hands of “*Might*”.

“Might-ridden thoughts” demote themselves to an illusion that wants to justify “Might” and theorize a “Might-pivot worldviews”.

The pressure of “*Inductive Movement*” on “*instincts*” and “*thoughts*” creates two scenarios.

The supremacy of wise thoughts

When a human lives in a “Mild Environment” with plenty of foods and temperate climate, the environment has a good effect on her or his mind and forms “Thoughts”, “Morality” and “Worldview”, so:

Thoughts:

A mild environment gives possibility for “thoughts” to promote “Precarious Wisdom”.

If “Precarious Wisdom” could find a meaning for life that adapts to the order of the cosmos, it can be promoted again, this time from “Precarious Wisdom” to “**Wisdom**” as a permanent phenomenon.

The process of “thoughts” to “wisdom” has two steps:

- 1- “Thoughts” to “Precarious Wisdom”.
- 2- “Precarious Wisdom” to “**Wisdom**”.

“Precarious Wisdom” is like lightning and “Wisdom” is like electricity. However, lightning and electricity are alike but lightning is not reliable because it appears for a short time in an uncontrollable situations. Lightning is a precarious light, but electricity is generated according to scientific principles and takes specific routes to our homes and gives us permanent light.

In human history “Precarious Wisdom” appeared and like lightning illuminated the dark skies of us for a while, then disappearing and letting

darkness return. For example, philosophy in ancient Greece was such a light. The wisdom of the Greek philosophers was a “Precarious Wisdom”, no matter how splendid and aglitter; they could not find a meaning to life that could be in accordance with a “Right-pivot worldview” and enhanced their “Precarious Wisdom” into *wisdom*. Their failure for make a “Right-pivot worldview” and a suitable route for “Inductive Movement” where it could unleash its kinetic energy caused “*Precarious Wisdom*” declined to “*illusory thoughts*” and *Philosophy* gave its place to *religion*.

Morality:

A mild environment disarms “Destructive Instincts” because of the basic needs of humans. Without the need to interfere with **Rapacious Instinct**, a situation is created that lets “*Selfless Instinct*” promotes itself from “*Natural Moral*” to “***Transcendental Moral***”.

Worldview:

“Wisdom”, “Transcendental Moral” and “Right-pivot worldview” render a proper route to “Inductive Movement” where it can unleash its kinetic energy of curiosity, variety seeking, and adventure. This “**Right route**” or “**permanent developing route**” renders boundless progress to humanity where men achieve permanent peace with economic booms and happiness.

Supremacy of instincts

When human lives in “Harsh Environment” with little food, the environment forms “Thoughts”, “Morality” and “Worldview”, so:

Thoughts:

When human lives in a harsh environment where nature is relentless, the struggle for survival demands more activity from “Destructive Instincts” and gives less time for thinking.

The pressure of “Inductive Movement” imposes equally on “thoughts” and “instincts”. The “thoughts” manage to disable the pressure of “Inductive Movement” and takes a refuge to the “Destructive Instincts” and stay under their command. The “Destructive Instincts” gets an opportunity to exert control over thoughts and by manipulating them being able to cope with “Inductive Movement”.

Under the command of “Destructive Instincts” the thoughts have only one task and that is theorizing the activities of “Destructive Instincts”. For that reason the “thoughts” lose their independence for the gathering of information and analyzing them impartially. The “thoughts” are forced to choose the information that can serve the interests of “Destructive Instincts” without considering the correctness of information. When the “thoughts” begin to accept even incorrect information, they decline from thoughts to “illusory thoughts”.

Morality:

When “Destructive Instincts” establish their supremacy on the brain in a harsh environment, the “Selfless Instinct” as a core of “Natural Moral” is confronted with two options:

- 1- A decline to “**Paradoxical Morals**” in some religious worldviews.
- 2- A disappearing to morality and emergence of immorality (or amorality) in ideological worldviews.

Chapter III

Worldview

The Essence of the worldview

The worldviews are products of humans' minds. Outside of our skull there is only the world (cosmos) where worldviews have no meaning. But the real world has direct effects on the human mind. The environment, in which a human lives, forms her or his thoughts. The worldview is a combination of the environment and thoughts.

Reason for worldview

We have made worldviews because many questions have occupied our minds that demanded answers:

Who are we?

How did we get here?

Where will we go hereafter?

What is the meaning of life?

From the dawn of human history, such questions have haunted every human being with an ordinary mind. The answers to these questions have produced different mythologies, religions and ideologies.

The meaning of life is the key question. If human could properly answer it, by that answer, not only the other questions would be answered but also a “Right pivot-worldview” and its wisdom could be born. In such circumstances the “**Right route**” as a product of a “Right-pivot worldview” can unload “Inductive Movement” and its kinetic energy (curiosity, variety seeking, and adventure). The “**Right route**” or “**permanent developing route**” renders boundless balanced progress to humanity.

Human’s inability to lead thoughts to wisdom, creates a dangerous gap and makes her or him a collection of paradoxes. From one side a human can organize her or his thoughts for the understanding of natural phenomena, what we call science. From the other side, humans cannot organize her or his thoughts for understanding the meaning of life. Making that is the task of Wisdom.

Human as a tool-maker has paid attention to practical aspects of his products because the reality of life demanded practical tools. He responded to the demands of real life and developed tools by enhancing the technology and the skills to apply them.

Science, with its development, affected the ability to make tools and thereafter technology became a practical aspect of science.

Now, human has high technology in his hands as a result of his logical thoughts. Simultaneously, the absence of logical thoughts to guide him to wisdom has caused “illusory thoughts” that leads him to: “unwisdom”.

However the lack of wisdom is the last thing on Earth that we will confess to. But the signs of unwisdom are visible everywhere. There is a strong tendency among us to blame the other for unwisdom and at the same time see ourselves as a wise person. **Saadi Shirazi** (1210 – 1291) Persian poet

said: **“should from the surface of the earth wisdom disappear, still no one will acknowledge his own ignorance”**.

Wisdom has different signs but two of them are important:

1- A wise person renders logical answer to logical question.

2- A wise person feels responsibility.

Of course those that are ignoring the logical question, eliminating it, silencing the questioners, and denying personal responsibility, do not deserve to call themselves part of any wisdom. Nowadays, all of these behaviors as signs of unwisdom are prevalent worldwide by “*Might*”.

A mass of reasonable questions is waiting for reasonable answers but instead of doing so; we prefer either to ignore them or try to silence the questioners.

Humans are confronted with an important paradox: reasonable behavior in connection with science, and unreasonable behavior in relationship to wisdom.

The meaning of life

The meaning of life is: **The pursuit of happiness in perpetual life**. The meaning of life is composed of two ingredients: “*Perpetual Life*” and “*Happiness*”.

The meaning of life just like “*Right*” is something that is born with us. The evidence that can lead us to the meaning of life lies deep within our own bodies and minds. We should search for the evidence inside us and discover it. Then we should adjust the evidence of the meaning of life with the “*Order of the Cosmos*”.

The Perpetual Life

For any animal “*Living*” is the ultimate goal. Feeding and breeding, also offense and defense in animals have one specific purpose: *keeping the individual and species alive*.

“**Stay alive!**” is an order of nature. All animals from a single-celled organism, to more sophisticated ones like humans, comply with this order.

The “Perpetual Life” for humans has been a dream and prolonging life is the visible attempt in our daily strivings.

Happiness

Happiness is the result of satisfaction that provokes a sense of pleasure in the human brain. Eating and having sex are “*common needs*” between animals and human. These “*common needs*”; demand “*common activities*”. Nature devised pleasure as a reward for each activity. The latent pleasures in eating, drinking and having sex, encourage animals to do them. These activities are guaranteeing life, whether as individual or species. The “*instincts*” have responsibility to impose these orders of nature on animals and giving pleasure as its reward to them.

The “*common needs*” and “*common activities*” with “*common rewards*” are common actions and reactions among all animals; but beyond them, humans must cope with “**Inductive Movement**” as a unique phenomenon that nature has imposed only on humans. The “*Inductive Movement*” demands other activities that can reap a unique reward: “*Satisfaction*” that in turn creates “*Happiness*”.

The satisfaction in animals is a simple process that began with “*common needs*” followed by “*common activities*” and ended in “*common rewards*” but a human has “*inductive movement*” that demands an extraordinary activity. The satisfaction in humans is rare, because humans due to lack of wisdom cannot often provide proper activities for the

demands of “inductive movement”. If a human can produce such extraordinary activity, he will enjoy an extraordinary reward: “*happiness*”.

Happiness in human is not provided by eating, drinking and having sexual activity. These give humans a sense of pleasure but not happiness.

A human needs to feel useful. Making things, being engaged in a worthy project, or finding the answer to a difficult question gives a pleasing sense of usefulness.

The pleasure of solving a mathematical problem is not comparable with the pleasure of eating, drinking or sex. Also the pleasure of creation is unique and the most transcendental pleasure in humans. It is also the most durable pleasure and can fill each moment of a wise person life with pleasure, satisfaction and happiness, because in the process of creation, pleasure and satisfaction would create happiness for the creator. Therefore we can say: **Creation creates happiness for the creator.**

Satisfaction and happiness in human depend on her or his thoughts, but in other animals instincts produce satisfaction. This is biggest difference between humans and animals.

Whereas, in a “Right-pivot worldview” wisdom is the highest form of “Thoughts”, and guides the mind. It can usher from the “Inductive Movement” to “**Right route**” or “**permanent developing route**” where it can unleash its kinetic energy and produce satisfaction and thereby: “happiness”.

A “might-pivot worldview” cannot lead “Inductive Movement”, because it is not qualified for a mission that needs wisdom, not instincts. “Might” tries to relieve “Inductive Movement” with the same method that affects “common needs”. The recipe of a “might-pivot worldview” for respond to demands of “Inductive Movement” is: eat more, drink more and have more sex.

Different foods, drinks and sexual partners only relieve “variety seeking”. However, they have unpleasant consequences like obesity and alcoholism that lead to different diseases, but they cannot respond to “boredom” and “adventure”. The recipe of “Might” for them is: “Crisis”. War is the ultimate crisis that destructive instincts can create. At war, “boredom” and “adventure” can find a place for their activities. War against other humans, the animals and nature is the way that “Might” unleashes the kinetic energy of “Inductive Movement”.

“Perpetual Life” and “Happiness” need two prerequisites:

1-Human should accept the individual human without considering her or his gender or race sits at the center of the cosmos.

2- Human must adjust himself to the order of the cosmos.

Then, after accepting these prerequisites, humans can take practical steps toward **“the pursuit of happiness in perpetual life”**.

Chapter IV

The practice steps

The practice steps toward making “Right-pivot worldview” for pursuit of happiness in a perpetual life are¹:

Step 1: Good Thoughts

Among all thoughts that rush through a humans mind, humans should choose those that are adaptable with the order of the cosmos.

When the “thoughts” adjust to the order of the cosmos, they enhance “good thoughts” because in this process all thoughts are filtered through the order of the cosmos. The incorrect, wrong, useless and harmful thoughts would be banned and only the correct, right, useful, harmless thoughts would remain. The “wise thoughts” is another name for “good thoughts” because they create wisdom. With help of such “pure thoughts” a human can extract the “concept of Right” from life and discover “Human Rights”, animal rights, and plant rights.

¹ Except step 5, the other steps in this chapter first appeared in the philosophy of Zoroaster and I only repeat them in this book.

Step 2: Good Deeds

The “thoughts” shape deeds. The result of “Good Thoughts” are “Good Deeds”.

Humans with “Good Thoughts” make things and take actions that are in harmony with the cosmos and thereafter “Good Thoughts” are harmless.

“Good Deeds” means humans can make things without obsession about their bad consequences. Every step, every stone and every action is in its right place and right time because all bad things were filtered in the first step. For that reason “Good Deeds” save time and energy and helps humans to develop with the least amount of damage.

A durable stream of development and progress is the result of “Good Deeds”.

Step 3: Calmness or peace

The “thoughts” are an introduction to deeds and shape human’s behaviors. The “Good Thoughts” lead human to “Good Deeds”. They then together create peace in three cases:

- 1- Peace inside of the individual human.
- 2- Peace among individual humans who have achieved “good thoughts” and “Good Deeds”.
- 3- Peace between humans and nature.

Step 4: Progress on “*permanent developing route*”

“Good Thoughts” cause “Good Deeds” and together they lead to “Calmness or peace” where humans can show their talents, because in the atmosphere of a “Right-pivot worldview” all humans have equal

rights. Thanks to “Calmness or peace” there does not exist, any discrimination among humans according to their gender or race, and they can exercise their talents.

Each human according to her or his abilities participates into different multi-aspects developments of the community. This progress causes the chain reactions that increases the number of “Good Thoughts”, “Good Deeds” and generates more progress. The progress and its durable development, produces more welfare which in turn means more investment in humans’ health and in scientific research for the prolonging of human’s life.

Step 5: Circumstance Zero

In the “Right-pivot worldview”, wisdom harnesses instincts. The “Inductive Movement” that is the destructive phenomenon in a “Might-pivot worldview” will be under the control of wisdom, and its “Good Thoughts” hence change to a constructive peace maker.

The “Good Thoughts” and “Good Deeds” guide “Inductive Movement” toward a “permanent developing route” where the kinetic energy of “Inductive Movement” finds a suitable route for unleashing its energy and participating in the progress.

This process, from its very beginning, does a deep change in humans’ behavior which nature and climate changes demand. Peace inside the individual human, among humans, and between humans and nature gives a good opportunity for humans to pass through a self-made climate catastrophe. Beside this huge achievement, humans would be prepared for a second exodus.

The first exodus in first Circumstance Zero occurred about 65,000 years ago when humans left Africa for other parts of the Earth. Circumstance Zero was when humans were mature enough to confront problems that awaited them in unknown lands. Humans, before the

“Circumstance Zero”, were probably not mature enough to cope with imminent problems. The other hominid species that left Africa before the Circumstance Zero could not endure the climate fluctuation and therefore died out.

Our successful exodus in the *First Circumstance Zero* was very important because it made us what we are. The second exodus in the *Second Circumstance Zero* is important because it will give us a new opportunity to solve our biggest problem: the “Inductive Movement” and its curiosity, variety seeking and adventuring.

The Second Circumstance Zero will provide “Perpetual Life” and “Happiness” because Space with its boundless borders, is humans new destination where other planets could be habitable by humans. The existence of Earth as our sole habitation is precarious, and it itself cannot provide “Perpetual Life” or immortality for human species. Now human species’ existence depends on the existence of Earth. It is risky for us to remain attached only to Earth.

Step 6: Immortality

Immortality or “Perpetual Life” for human as an individual and as a species is a desire that is visible in all his activities from feeding to reproduction. All animals instincts, like programmed computers, have had a specific mission: the achievement of immortality. In humans the brain evolved to help the instincts to fulfil the task of immortality.

Whereas human rights depend on her or his life and all rights emanate from the “Right of Life”, then “*death*” is the enemy of the “*Right of Life*” and to “human rights”.

The prolonging of life means the prolonging of rights. With the death of an individual human, all his or her knowledge and experiences die. The accumulated knowledge and experiences for an individual take a

long time to gain, and it is an expensive process. By prolonging an individual human's life, she or he can help other humans, for a longer time.

The immortality of an individual human means a lot to her or him as an individual and to human species as a whole.

The death of the human species is more painful than any death of an individual human. Because the attempts of thousands generations of human species and all their achievements would vanish.

Diseases, accidents and old age threaten an individual human's life.

There are also threats that confront the human species with extinction. Some of them emanate from humans themselves. The origins of others are from cosmos or the Earth itself.

We can turn *risks* into *opportunities*, if with increasing science, we invest in creating wisdom. Now, the unbalance between the organized thoughts for cognition of natural phenomenon (science) and thoughts for understanding the meaning of life (wisdom) has caused serious problems like Extreme Climate Change and attempts to reach Artificial Intelligence.

Beside human-made threats, there are other risks like asteroid impact and super-volcanos that are out of the range of human activities.

With so many threats, human existence on Earth is precarious. We must colonize other planets to prevent our species' extinction.

We have evidence that one of these threats wiped out 80 percent of all life on Earth 66 million years ago. The most famous extinct species was the dinosaurs. The impact of a six-mile (9.65 kilometer) long asteroid is believed to have hit the earth and caused that cataclysm.

“Space” is our future where our species can find other planets for living and make itself free from depending on Earth as its only habitat.

Step 7: Godhood

Immortality does not mean **only** “Perpetual Life” to humans. It transforms a human to a “God”.

Godhood was human’s desire from dawn of her or his species’ history. This desire inspired humans to create gods.

Humans in different environments have created different gods with different attributes. All gods are immortal, unlike humans. The immortality of gods reflects human’s deep desire for a “Perpetual Life” and the ability for creation that brings “Happiness”.

Because we could not achieve Immortality, we created the gods from our desire for a “Perpetual Life”.

The creation of life is another attribute of gods. The gods can animate lifeless things.

Science has revealed the secrets of life. Sooner or later humans will create life from lifeless things.

Humans need to feel happiness needs to be satisfied. Satisfaction depends on the ability to make things that provokes a sense of usefulness in humans. This process needs a place and time. Earth gives us limited space and humans’ mortality works as a limit. Without sufficient place and enough time, humans cannot reach the meaning of life. When the duration of life is limited and the existence of life on the Earth is uncertain, satisfaction and happiness are precarious. Anxiety about diseases and death, limits the possibility to enjoy a long life.

Space and Immortality gives unlimited space and time to the human species to participate in making new worlds within the boundless Universe for an unlimited time.

“Pursuit of happiness in perpetual life” as a common goal has another effect on human species: **Unity**.

Might and its worldviews have established inequality among humans. In a “Might-pivot worldview” right does not emanate from life. The origin of “Right” is “Might”. An aphorism in English says: “Might makes Right”. Unity of individual humans with “equal in dignity and rights” as Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights wished, in a “Might-pivot worldview” is impossible.

Chapter V

Arms of “Might”

“Might” as an old dominant phenomenon exerts its hegemony over human life.

“*Illusory Thoughts*” is the brain of “Might”. They appear in the forms of “Religions” and “Ideologies”. These aspects of “*Illusory Thoughts*” have a task to justify the action of “Might” when it takes the “individual human” from the center of the cosmos and sets different illusions instead of the “individual human”. This transposition shapes different mind-sets into a “Might-pivot worldview”.

“*Realpolitik*” is the political branch of “Might”. It imposes the will of “Might” upon people and deprives them with different schemes of their “Right of self-sovereignty”. *Realpolitik* exerts the sovereignty of “Might” in human societies. Lying, cheating, roguery and conspiracy are tools of *Realpolitik*.

“*Greed-ism*” is the economic branch of “Might”. Greed as a destructive instinct that plays an important role in all forms of economic systems and through all the “Might-pivot world-views” we had in our history. Whereas now, capitalism is the dominant economic system worldwide, *Greed-ism*

has nested in capitalism, just as it did in other economic systems before the birth of capitalism.

The nested *Greed-ism* in capitalism distorts the “*right of having own property*” as a basic human right, and abuses that right to deprive the other humans of their rights.

Picture “*Might*” as a monster with “*Illusory Thoughts*” as its head, and “*Greed-ism*” and “*Realpolitik*” as its two strong arms. This monster is destroying nature and violating the rights of humans, animals and plants.

Weakness of “*Might*”

“*Might*” as the sum of different of forces and powers is very strong but its strength emanates more from the weakness of human ignorance of “*Right*”, than from its own innate ability and strength.

“*Might*” has no “*Right*” and it is its greatest weakness. If it had “*Right*” it could not be “*Might*” any longer.

The lack of “*Right*” deprives “*Might*” of moral legitimacy, because “*Selfless Instinct*” as a core of “*Natural Moral*” is very important for humans. Denying “*Selfless Instinct*” by humans is almost impossible. “*Selfless Instinct*” has made humans what he is. Without “*Selfless Instinct*” (it causes humans to care about each other) cooperation among our species is impossible.

Human conscience is responsible to supervise any enforcement of “*Selfless Instinct*”.

The human brain is not programmed to kill her or his fellow human(s). However, during all of history, human has killed other human(s). But human for relief her or his conscience was forced to justify the homicide by creating acceptable reasons.

Human is forced also to persuade other humans and receive their acceptance for the strengthening of his own justifications.

The task of any justification to taking other humans' life and rights has been put on the shoulders of "*Illusory Thoughts*" by "Might". The methods that human is using for this task is different. These differences emanate from different worldviews within the atmosphere of "Might" but all of them suffer from "*Paradoxical Morals*". In this sort of moral a "Might-pivot worldview" should be reconciled with a "*care about a fellow human*" with "*destroy a fellow human*" by violating her or his rights. The fluctuation between two poles of "*morality*" and "*immorality*" generates "**Double- Morality**" (hypocrisy) among humans in a "Might-pivot worldview". The hypocrisy among us causes mental strain. This mental strain is a consequence of the pressure of two opposite poles on the mind.

Lies and prejudices are raw materials for any justification to deprive our fellow humans of their rights. First, we are forced to empty our fellow humans of their human dignity, then starting to plunder their rights. Even in our successful attempts, often, in the deepest layers of our minds, there is some sort of acquaintance that reminds us: *in what we are doing now, there is something wrong*. For that reason, all "Might-pivot world-views" are scared of the truth because it could shake their fragile justifications. Denial of genocide by people who do it, emanate from their fear of the truth.

William L. Shirer on page 866 of his book "*The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich*" reports how Nazis tried to hide their mass murders. Himmler's own statistician, Dr. Richard Korherr, reported to his chief on March 23, 1943, that a total of 633,300 Jews in Russia had been "resettled"—a euphemism for massacre.

Why did Dr. Richard Korherr avoid calling it a massacre or killing?

In page 866, Shirer writes:

“On August 31, 1942 Himmler had ordered an Einsatz detachment to execute a hundred inmates of the Minsk prison, so that he could see how it was done. According to Bach-Zalewski, a high officer in the S.S. who was present, Himmler almost swooned when he saw the effect of the first volley from the firing squad. A few minutes later, when the shots failed to kill two Jewish women outright, the S.S. Fuehrer became hysterical. One result of this experience was an order from Himmler that henceforth the women and children should not be shot but dispatched in the gas vans.”

“Himmler almost swooned” because, giving an order to massacre from behind a desk in SS’ headquarters was much easier than being an eyewitness to the mass killing of humans. Even Himmler, a leading member of the Nazi Party, had difficulty watching a massacre of women and children. He excluded them from shooting and ordered them into gas vans.

Humans’ tendency to take care of women and children is a part of our “*selfless instinct*”, because children are our future and the continuation of our species. Women are important because they give birth to children and feed them. The children even children of animals make us happy because they betoken life and guarantee its continuation on Earth. Saving and taking care of baby animals is a part of “*instinct to care about other species*”.

The “*selfless instinct*” and “*instinct to care about other species*” as the core of our “*Natural Moral*” even in the “*Paradoxical Morals*” inside the heart of a “*Might pivot worldview*” can disturb true believers like Himmler and his fellow SS officers.

According to Himmler’s order, women and children were killed in “gas vans” But the Nazis who were engaged in that mission complained to “*the immense psychological injuries and damage to their health*” that the new method caused them.

Suffering from “*psychological injuries*” is not specific to the Nazis. Islamists from another “*Might-pivot worldview*” had the same problem when they murdered their fellow species.

On 26 November 2014, BBC News published an article about “*The Taliban's psychiatrist*” [<http://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-29944329>]. This is an abridged story of that article about Doctor Nader Alemi a psychiatrist in north of Afghanistan who was a respectable therapist among Taliban:

“A familiar figure in Afghanistan, Alemi is based in Mazar-e-Sharif in the north of the country. Taliban forces captured the city in August 1998 and won control of much of the surrounding area.

But while they were succeeding on the battlefield, Alemi saw the mental strain of years of fighting.

One day the Taliban's provincial governor Akhtar Osmani summoned Alemi to see him - Mullah Akhtar was second in command to Mullah Omar, the group's spiritual leader.

He was hearing voices and he was delusional - his bodyguards told me they could hear him raving during the night,” says Alemi. Mullah Akhtar's staff also said their boss often didn't recognise them.

This man had been on the front line for goodness knows how long, and seen goodness knows how many people killed in front of him. All those explosions and screams may still have been echoing in his head, even sitting in the comfort of his office.

Alemi treated other high-ranking Taliban officials too. We became sort of friends. [One] asked me to see him at his headquarters - he was suffering from depression and chronic pain, and I prescribed him drugs to alleviate his symptoms.

I don't remember the exact numbers who came to me, but it must have been in the thousands. I treated them for almost three years, before Mazar was recaptured in November 2001.

Alemi found many of the soldiers wanted to die. They told me they [wanted] to commit suicide, but couldn't because of Islamic values.

One said: Every time I go to the frontline, I wish someone would shoot me and bring an end to my life. But I still survive and hate this sort of living."

The question that comes while reading about these “psychological injuries” is: Why do they participate in killing their fellow humans, when such activities are injuring them too?

Might-ridden person in a “Might-pivot worldview” is obliged to comply with orders of “Might”. The agents of Might are hostages of “Might” itself. They are victims of “Might” who, in turn, victimize others. The violation of human rights as a vicious circle is continuing and with the passing of time; its dimensions grows bigger.

We as ordinary persons show sympathy with the victims of “Might” but agents of Might (Might-ridden people) themselves also deserve pity because they are trapped in a fatal maze and suffering from it. They are also victims of “Might”.

Millions of men, women and children during all of our history have been victims of “Might”. Human history has been smirched with unbelievable brutality that man has done against his fellow species.

Any “Might-pivot worldview” is to be considered as a fatal disease. Its medicine is “Right” but the lack of recognizing “Might” and “Right” has caused us to remain, more or less, in same trap that our ancestors lived in.

Weakness of humans who desire “Right”

Despite our achievements in science and technology we are more confused than our ancestors, because we are under bombardment of news that our

minds have problem to understand and absorb them. The news about human rights violation, war, terrorism, corruption of statesmen, international dictatorship, collapses of the financial markets, a growing abyss between rich and poor and climate changing put pressure on our minds without us being able to do something effective to stop or change them.

Our disability for analyzing what are happening around us has encouraged “Might” to expand shamelessly in its activities.

With plentiful of information, our scientific achievements have shaken the “Illusory Thoughts” of some religions and ideologies in our minds. Now, for most modern ordinary humans it is difficult to believe the biblical account of the creation, or other myths of one race’s supremacy over other races. Science has damaged “Illusory Thoughts” and thereof exposed potential “Paradoxical Morals” without any ability to change something in practice.

Now, there is not necessary or hard to prove injustice and human right violation in the world; or humans role in the changing of our climate. The vigilant mind knows about them; and continues to see dictatorship of the finance market through the increasing inequality worldwide. With the help of internet and search engines, access to news and statistics is much easier than before the digital era.

Our request for right and justice is not less than last generations. We have had The Universal Declaration of Human Rights since 1948. It was officially accepted by many governments worldwide. Our ancestors neither had such document nor had so much information.

There are hundreds of groups and organizations in the world that dedicated themselves to human rights. There is difficult to find a government to officially deny the Declaration of Human Rights.

Our scientific achievements, technological facilities, plentiful information, weaker “*Illusory Thoughts*” and more desire for human rights could not overcome our confusion, because we cannot understand “*Might*” and “*Right*” as two separate concepts in the context of the order of cosmos. This is our biggest weakness. It lets a “*Might-pivot worldview*” to exert its hegemony.

Poor people, jobless people, students, workers, employees, human rights activists and Greenpeace activists have their own complaints and will right and justice according to their views, without concerning “*Right*” as coherent concept with different outer aspects. No right for plants also means: No rights for animals. Without these two rights; human rights is meaningless.

Accusing different part of “*Might*” and then desire to achieve our share of “*right*” without considering rights’ coherence; is our biggest mistake.

Dividing things make them easier for studying. For example in science we say from here to there is chemistry, then after there, it is physic. Then we go further and we are separating again, before separated topics. In physic we denominate its sub-branch to Biophysics, Atomic Physics, Thermodynamics, Particle Physics, Mechanics, Laser Physics, Optics, etc.

Outside of our mind such separation does not exist. In order to be understanding “*Biophysics*” we should first understand the concept of science generally. Without enough knowledge we cannot understand biophysics.

We, again, use the same method on our planet. If we look at Earth from the surface of the Moon or the International Space Station we would see water and lands in a beautiful blue globe. There is no name on parts of water or land. The Earth is a coherent globe. We have separated it, for different reasons. We have denominated each part of Earth and had ourselves believe that this man-made separation is fact.

It is same for the achievement of the human rights. Each denominated rights in the Declaration of Human Rights ,like the below rights, are ingredients of coherent concept, that we named “Right” but “Right” is vaster than human rights. The animal rights and plant rights is other parts of “Right”. By the way, “Right” as a multi-aspect concept could be understood better in the context of the “order of the cosmos” as a vaster concept.

Here I extract some of our rights from The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR):

The right to work, (Article 23)

The right to equal pay for equal work (Article 23)

The right to social security, (Article 22)

The protection against unemployment, (Article 22)

The right to education, (Article 26)

The right to freedom of opinion and expression; (Article 19)

The right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care, (Article 25)

The right to rest and leisure, (Article 24)

We have had these “Rights” since 195,000 years ago, when we first became human but discovering and extracting them took a very long time. We documented our human rights only just recently in 1948.

Emphasis on one or two of the human rights article according to our favorite and not considering “Human Rights” as an inseparable concept, causes us to never achieve our favorite parts of our human rights. Even

when we concentrate merely on “Human Rights”, as an independent concept, without seeing it as a part of “Right” as the coherent concept, never lets us reach our rights as human.

We have a right to separate each coherent concept like we do with Science-Nature or Right and Might, into different parts. However, it is wrong to believe man-made separations as eternal truth, because it traps us to commit fatal mistakes and put lives on Earth in danger.

We must not forget that “**Life makes Right**”. It is main principle. The damaging of a link of life’s chain means damaging the chain in its entirety. The existence of life’s chain depends on its ability of harmonizing itself within the order of the cosmos.

When we pollute Earth in different ways, we damage the air, soil and water. Each of them in turn leads us to violations of the human Rights in their own ways.

Greenhouse gas emissions caused a global warming that have and will change humans’ habitation environment and force them to seek new habitations in other part of Earth that already is habited by other humans.

Carbon dioxide is the main gas that has the most important role regarding global warming, even has effect on life of microorganism, on soil and water. The life of plants and the marine life are threatening by man-made pollution. When human destroys the ecosystem, she or he will be deprived of food and fresh water. The water and food crisis would accelerate humans’ displacement.

In such circumstance a war for surviving is inevitable. War for rare sources of water and food is the best hotbed for humans’ destructive instincts. At war the decline of morals is inevitable because moral and its core “selfless instinct” becomes a luxury. With nadir of “selfless instinct” the curtain that veiled “*Paradoxical Morals*” in “Might-pivot world-

views” would fall and *immorality* as a naked reality would appear and without any shame and considerations would destroy human rights. At war when humans’ life, as a main right, is at stake and killing humans becomes a target; the respect to other human rights, that is an offshoots of life, becomes meaningless. War is an enemy of human rights. At war human’s destructive instincts becomes dominate and war declines human into killing-machines.

Any Right-action, in any corner of the Earth will fortify the concept of “Right” throughout the Earth. It is the same thing for “Might”. When somebody emits carbon dioxide to the air, she or he pollutes the Earth and thereafter violates the “Right” generally.

When someone violates animal rights somewhere on the Earth, she or he damage human rights, now and in future.

When someone destroys plants, she or he violates animal rights.

When the agents of Realpolitik in cloth of statesmen impose the conditions of Greed-ism on people with deceptive manners, or with help of terror in different forms silence their opponent(s), they damage human rights now and in the future. In such way, we can see the torture of one person, is the torture of mankind.

In opposite course:

1-When Yacouba Sawadogo as a simple farmer from Burkina Faso innovates a new method for stopping dessert in West Africa with rehabilitating damaged soil and changes arid desert to green, he extends right throughout the Earth.

2-When Stéphane Hessel, an anti-Nazi, a member of French Resistance , a concentration camp survivor, a diplomat, and a member of **Drafting Committee** of the **Universal Declaration of Human Rights**, at age **93 wrote** “Time for Outrage!”, he spreads hope about “Right” and inspired millions human worldwide.

3-When Edward Snowden, an infrastructure analyst inside NSA (the National Security Agency) leaked information that revealed NSA's numerous global surveillance programs, with the cooperation of telecommunication companies and European governments, he damaged "Might" and bolstered "Right" worldwide.

Snowden exposed himself to different pressure from the biggest governmental powers ever existing throughout all of humankind's history. He revealed hypocritical features of "Might" and its double-moral, double-speak and double-deed.

Million persons and thousands different organizations around the world, are with heroic efforts trying to protect "Right" as a concept generally and human rights and animal rights specifically. All of them try to achieve "Right" in the atmosphere of "Might" and under conditions and concepts that are defined by "Might". The lack of independent definitions for basic concepts among activists of "Right", are obliging them to use distorted definitions from the atmosphere of "Might". Confronting "Might" while using its definitions, is doomed to fail.

The lack of definition makes our minds confused and thereof leads us to indecision. From the ashes of indecision, "Might" arises as an evil.

Having independent definitions for any concept that has been defined in the atmosphere of "Might" would be an end to the confusion that is visible among activists of "Right". The reason of the actual confusion generates from a lack of "Right-pivot worldview" as a system that should cope with "Might-pivot world-views" as the dominative system that has all the ability to exert its hegemony. "Might" with "*Illusory Thoughts*", the nested *Greed-ism* in Capitalism, and *Realpolitik* has overcome the desires of billions of humans worldwide and has imposed its conditions upon them. On the opposite side, "Right" deprives of theoretical and practical branches.

The billions of scattered persons with vague definition about “Right” as a coherent concept, try to achieve “Right” without “Thoughts as wisdom”, Economic and political branches.

The independent definition and redefinition of Life, Right, Freedom, Justice, Law, Wisdom, Responsibility, Politician and Democracy helps us to construct a “Right-pivot worldview”. My attempt in this text has been to discern “Might” and “Right” by describing the “instincts” and “thoughts” as their origins. For completing this effort I need to redefine the concepts of Justice, Freedom, Democracy, Law and Responsibility. However, some definitions are mentioned in this text before, but repeating them again is necessary because this time they will be articulating with other concepts.

Life

Life² is collection of chemical processes that can harness a flow of energy to create local islands of order, like me and you. We are borrowing an order from the wider universe and then transmitting it from generation to generation through the elegant chemistry of DNA.

Right

“Right” is a concept of life that shapes in the human mind by understanding the entity of life when thinking about it.

The first “Right” of every animal is the “*Right of life*” because each animal in order to think needs to be alive and having sufficient wisdom for thinking about life and its aspects.

All rights would be vanished when an animal dies. Then: **“Right” starts with “Life”, discovers by “Thoughts” and finishes by “Death”.**

² I learned the concept of life from professor Brain Cox.

Freedom

Freedom is ability to using and exerting the rights and having access to Justice when the rights of individual is ignored, abused or violated by other individual(s).

“Freedom” and *“human rights”* are like Conjoined twins. **They are born together when a human chooses “thoughts”** as a leader of his mind and exerts **“thoughts”** control on **“instincts”**. The ability of using and exerting the rights; and the possibility to choose, are two inseparable aspects of *“Freedom”*.

The quantity of freedom depends on the degree of control that wisdom can exert upon human’s instincts generally and his destructive instincts specifically, because instincts are a collective of commands that were written into the brains of living animals before the birth of humans. Any absolute compliment with these commands; means absolute slavery.

The freedom starts when a human tries to take distance to the commands of instincts, and instead of following instincts as *“before- decided commands”*, makes his own decisions by the help of wisdom. Animals are slave of their own instincts because of the lack of wisdom. Without wisdom they cannot take distance from their instincts. For that reason animals cannot be free because they have no other alternative to choose other than that of their instincts. But a human has her or his own thoughts as an alternative to instincts. Human has the choice to either comply or deny the commands of instincts.

When a human chooses wisdom, freedom is born because he can make independent decisions, despite orders of *“before- decided commands”* of instincts.

Justice

Justice is exerting the balance between rights of individual persons when one or more rights of that individual is ignored, abused or violated by other individual(s). Justice has the responsibility to return right(s) to its owner(s). The damages that are imposed on individuals should be compensated by Justice.

Law

Law is a tool for exercising Justice and establishing order. Whereas Justice itself balances between the rights of individuals, then law should be equal for all, because all people have equal rights.

Law shall also provide order, because chaos is the hotbed of injustice. Whereas life itself is an island of order in the midst of chaos, fear of chaos is a very deep sense among humans. The chaos is like death itself, because when we die the chemical elements that made our life possible, will decompose and return to chaos. The human fear of chaos forces any law to bring “order” with itself. Beside this inherent fear, chaos is a hotbed of violations of human rights because in disorder, the rights of the weaker could be violated by the mightier.

Wisdom

Wisdom is the “*organized thoughts*” to understand the “*meaning of life*” and to discover the “*Rights*” from the “*Right of Life*”. Wisdom can then extract human rights from the “*Right of Life*”. Wisdom also provides freedom for humans and gives the possibility of emancipation from the bondage of instincts.

Responsibility

The origin of responsibility is “*selfless instinct*” and “*care about other species instinct*”. These instincts are core of natural morals. This core could be strengthened by wisdom and that makes responsibility as a distinctive attribute of wise persons. Responsibility forces humans to think of others and do something that allows others to enjoy their rights. “Responsibility” is a response to the desire of “selfless instinct” and the demand of wisdom. The relationship between responsibility and a healthy mind is so clear that insane persons are free to respond to their actions.

Politics

Politics is the skill of organizing the public affairs in peoples favors, or in other words to “*Common Righteousness*”³. Because “*Common Righteousness*” depends on the enjoyment of the population of a society from “*Rights, Freedom and Justice*”; providing them is the main task of the politicians. Whereas “*Rights, Freedom and Justice*”; need wisdom, politics is equal to wisdom. Without wisdom, “*Common Righteousness*” is impossible because each wise decision should have good short- and long term effect on a society. If a decision seems useful in the present, but harmful in future, it does not deserve to be called a wise decision.

Whereas Politics is a part of wisdoms realm, morals must dominate on it, because “*Transcendental Morals*” is the highest level of morals formed only by Wisdom.

³ There is difference between “*Common Righteousness*” and “*Common Goodness*”. The difference between “*Goodness*” and “*Righteousness*” is that the first one could consider the rights to one person for himself, when the second one, certainly wants the rights to others.

Politician

The politician is a person with political skills. Whereas politics itself is to make wise decision, the politician must be wise, because only a wise person can assert wisdom. The politician, as a wise person, has a commitment to morality because a person without morals cannot be wise.

Democracy

Each person has the right to make decisions about her or his private and social life. Whereas humans live together, they should make decisions about their society together. This “*collective decision-making*” is called democracy.

Each member of society conveys her or his own “*Right to self-sovereignty*”. With no doubt everyone desires Goodness for herself or himself. Then when many persons with their “*Goodness*” participate in a decision-making session, the result of their session must be “*Common Righteousness*” that contains “*Goodness*” to all participants.

Chapter VI

Difficulty of definitions in “*Might-pivot world-views*”

The smooth definition of concepts in “*Right-pivot worldview*” proves that these concepts have been defined in the correct atmosphere. They are a network of meanings with interaction and an articulated relationship. The move from “*Right-pivot worldview*” to “*Might-pivot worldviews*” changes situation outright. The smooth articulated definitions give their place to verbosity and contradiction. Because like the explanation of the orbit of Mercury in Newtonian physics; “*Might-pivot worldviews*” cannot explain the concepts like *Right, Justice, Law, and Freedom*.

As for the understanding about the strange orbit of Mercury we should leave the atmosphere of Newton’s physics; in order to define the before said concepts, we should leave atmosphere of “*Might-pivot worldviews*”.

In the atmosphere of Einstein’s physics and the atmosphere of “*Right-pivot worldview*” we would without stammer or hallucinating arrive at the reasonable explanations of Mercury’s orbit and concepts such as “*Right, Justice, Freedom, and law*”.

The lack of reasonable definitions of these concepts; causes “*Might*” to render its distorted definitions, confiscate our rights and lead life on Earth to destruction.

Often, in “*Might-pivot worldviews*” these concepts either lack clear definitions, or their definitions have not articulated connections between themselves. It is not then easy to find the definitions of *Life, Right,*

Freedom, Justice, Law, Wisdom, Responsibility, Politics, Politician and **Democracy** in “*Might-pivot worldviews*”.

The definitions of these and other concepts like *Morals, Conscience, Ethics, Virtue, Culture, Goodness* and “*Righteousness*” are tools for thinking about, and finding solutions to problems that humans are facing. In “*Might-pivot worldviews*” the tools change character and become inaccessible.

“*Might*” has not needed any clear articulated definitions. More vague definitions in “*atmosphere of Might*” means: more possibility for manipulating the concepts in favor to itself and give opportunity to “*Might*” to use these vague definitions against the absolute majority of human society. It is very difficult to find the clear definitions for *Life, Right, Wisdom, and responsibility* in “*Might-pivot worldviews*” but “*Might*” talks and writes a lot about *Freedom, Justice, Law, Politics, Politician* and *Democracy* that they lead us nowhere except a real Hell.

What do they mean in reality for “*Might*”?

For “*Might*”:

-“*Freedom*” means choosing from the chosen things

Freedom originally had two aspects:

- 1- The ability to use and exercise rights
- 2- The possibility to choose

These aspects are not separable. Together they make Freedom. But “*Might*” eliminates “*the ability to use and exercise rights*” and emphasizes the “*possibility to choose*”. Even in this aspect of Freedom, “*Might*” is not honest. In many different ways “*Might*” imposes its desires on people and lets them choose among the things already selected by “*Might*” itself.

- “Justice” is meaningless

Justice in the atmosphere of “*Might*” is altogether meaningless because the balance of rights between individual persons is opposite to the main principle of “*Might*” that depends on depriving others of their rights. How can destroyer of rights protect its victim’s rights?

“*Might*” takes justice and equals it to enforcing the law. The task of law in “*atmosphere of Might*” is the establishment of order among people according to the interests of “*Might*”.

- “Law” means rules of “Might”

The “*law*” in “*Might-pivot worldviews*” is not capable of exerting Justice because justice is meaningless in the “*atmosphere of Might*”. What it calls “*Law*” deserves to be called “*Rules of Rulers*” or “*Decrees of Rulers*”, because its target is to govern the behavior of people.

People’s “*social riot*” for their rights is the main anxiety for “*Might*” because it challenges the “*sovereignty of Might*”. From the other side, human inherently scares chaos. “*Might*” equalizes social riot with chaos and creates law as a tool to maintain order.

For the majority of people, “*order*” means avoiding chaos, and for the *Might*-ridden minority, order means holding the sovereignty and stopping “*social riot*”.

“*Might*” is not interested in personal conflicts among ordinary citizens. For that reason it is impartial in such conflicts and let justice take its course in cases of persons against persons. Then “*Law*” in the “*Might-pivot worldview*” beside of its main task (keeping order), can bear limited justice. Such justice considers only ordinary citizens or as aristocrats of “*Might*” like, the mob, and does not include mighty citizens.

Whereas “Might” has been more or less the same position in human history, the statement of **Solon** (c. 638 – c. 558 BC) about the law is still valid: *“Laws are like spiders’ webs; if some light or powerless animal falls into them, it is caught, but a bigger one can break through and get away.”*

In “Might-pivot worldviews” order is the main purpose of law, because it guarantees the *“sovereignty of Might”*. Justice is a side show and precarious because it is defined according to the interests of “Might”. However, in “Right-pivot worldview” justice is the only purpose of the law. Order is vital and law should provide it, because chaos brings injustice.

The discrimination before the rules of “Might” or “Decrees of Rulers” emanates from the definitions of Justice and Law in the atmosphere of “Might”. The discrimination is the best sign of injustice that prevails worldwide. However, it is against human rights.

Article 7 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that, *“All are equal before the law”*. But in “Might” it is not so. Mighty people are excluded from the law and enjoy immunity.

“Might” has no respect for its own rules. Its alleged law is serious until it protects its interests. Whenever “Might” feels that infringing the rules is useful; it does.

- “Responsibility” means irresponsibility

Responsibility is an irrelevant term in the *“atmosphere of Might”* because responsibility emanates from *“selfness instinct”* and *“care about other species instinct”*. These instincts force humans to think about others and do something so that the others could enjoy their rights. Such desire is called *“Responsibility”*. Wisdom bolsters *“Responsibility”*.

“Might” is the destroyer of Rights and does not care about others rights. In the atmosphere of “Might” wisdom has no chance to establish itself in

the human mind, while “Destructive Instincts” takes control of the mind and relegates “thoughts” to “Illusory Thoughts”.

- “Politics” means Realpolitik

In “Might-pivot worldview” *Politics* means Realpolitik. Because Politics is the ability to organize the public area, it needs wisdom inevitably, but there is no place for wisdom in the “*atmosphere of Might*”. The only form of “thoughts” that can exist in a “Might-pivot worldview” is “Illusory Thoughts”. This kind of “thoughts” is corrupted. “Illusory Thoughts” engage in *lying, cheating, roguery* and *conspiracy*, instead of making wise decisions.

Lack of wisdom in *Realpolitik* makes it vulnerable to making wrong decisions that cause different kinds of crises. The scheme of Realpolitik that confronts a crisis is to create a new crisis. Each solution to each problem in Realpolitik bears within itself the seed of another and more complicated problem.

There is no moral in Realpolitik because wisdom is absent and *lying, cheating, roguery* and *conspiracy* govern. In Realpolitik “*double-moral*”, “*double-speak*” and “*double-deed*” prevail.

- “Politician” means politics-player

A “*politics-player*” is a false politician. She or he is a puppet of “Might” in Realpolitik. The *politics-players* like Realpolitik are immoral and are forced to be hypocrites because, as agents of “Might”, they must benefit from the violation of the rights of the majority of society; and from the other side by calming and cheating the people they pretend to work for society.

The “*politic-player*” is double-moral, double-speak and double-deed person.

- “**Democracy**” means **Might-cracy**

In “Might-pivot worldviews” democracy is “Might-cracy” because in a society with millions of people, individual citizens cannot often participate directly in decision-making. Then the individual citizens shall submit their “*right of sovereignty*” to some politicians and “*politics-players*” to make decisions for them and run their society.

If people’s representatives were honest, the result of their decision-making session should be “*Common Righteousness*” just as when each citizen could directly exercise her or his “*right of sovereignty*”. Because in direct democracy each person brings her or his desire for “*Goodness*” and the sum of individuals Goodness would be “*Common Righteousness*” that contains each citizen’s rights and provides freedom and justice.

People participate in the “*decision-making process*” to benefit themselves. The individual citizens do not participate in *decision-making* to lose their jobs, or to make their society insecure. The citizens want “*Goodness*” for themselves as individuals, and desire “*Righteousness*” for friends, neighbors and fellow citizens.

They do not want war, where their friends and relatives would be killed or injured in a war. However, in practice such bad things happen. People lose their jobs. They suffer from economic depressions and from different forms of social injustice. Such awful things happen because their “*right of sovereignty*” diverts to “*Might*” (= violation of rights) in favor of the minority of mighty persons, by *politics-players*.

“*Might-cracy*” works like a huge adaptor that transforms “*Right*” to “*Might*”. The **input** of the “*Adaptor of Rights-to-Might*” is: “*Goodness*” and “*Righteousness*”. The **output** is: “*Badness*” and “*Viciousness*”.

Chapter VII

Time to change behavior

We have been warned by scientists, international organizations and independent activists. The warning about climate change; warning about reducing the world wildlife populations, and making some species extinct; warning about rising economic inequality; and warning about violations of human rights worldwide.

Often governments and public opinion are the recipients of these warnings; the first one will not do anything and second cannot do anything.

Might is deaf to warnings and people are too paralyzed to react. By repeating the warnings to each other, people cannot change anything. Today there is little doubt about humans' role in global warming. In addition the widening gap between rich and poor is visible everywhere; but no serious measures for stopping global warming and fighting poverty are visible. The only things can be plentifully heard, are: “**Empty Words**”. For saving life on the Earth we need a deep change in our behaviors. Changing governments, making new political parties, and legislating are the old recipes that will not work. We have tried them and see their useless results now.

Disappointment

There is much reason for disappointment when we see what humans do to each other. War and terrorism destroy human life and rights. We inflict unbelievable brutality upon each other. Who could have imagined that the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) in the second decade of twenty-first century would chop off people's heads for their beliefs and put the footage on the internet?

Democratic governments not only ignore the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, but also violate their own constitutions. They use their power to oppress citizens who dare to expose Might-ridden persons' law infringements. For example the NSA part of the US government illegally intercepted telephone calls and emails of millions of Americans. The NSA deliberately violated the Fourth Amendment to the US Constitution which bans unreasonable search and seizures. NSA also violated Article 12 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that says: "*No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence.*"

Thomas Drake, a senior executive at NSA tried unsuccessfully to alert his superiors and Congress of what he saw as illegal activities. He noticed that they do not consider law infringement a problem. Then he took his allegations to the press. Although he was cautious—using encrypted email to communicate with a reporter—his leak was discovered. In 2010 the government indicted Drake under the Espionage Act. If convicted, he faces up to 35 years in prison.

A few years later Edward Snowden, an NSA infrastructure analyst leaked information about its illegal activities.

Snowden knowing about Thomas Drake started his efforts off USA soil. The US Department of Justice charged him with two counts of violating the Espionage Act and theft of government property punishable by up to 30 years in prison.

A democratic government is spying illegally on its own and many other countries citizens and when somebody exposes its espionage, the government charges the whistleblower with irrelevant accusations.

What can billions of world citizens do about this arbitrary interference into their privacy, homes or correspondence by the US government?

-Nothing! Because the most powerful government on the Earth has the right to do what it wants. In other words: “*Might makes right*”.

Law in the atmosphere of “Might” cannot stop “Might” from infringements of that law. “Might” can ignore the law without any consequences.

“Might” always has different excuses for violating human rights. When the US government read peoples correspondence, national security was the main excuse.

The US government never explains which danger threatens the security of their country. The Islamists who were recognized as threat of national security were allies of the US. This is not a libel. This is a part of history that is confirmed by Hillary Clinton⁴ former US Secretary of State.

The USA created and bolstered the Islamists to fight the Soviet Union. Communism itself was the result of inequality and poverty that “*Might*” imposed upon a large group of people by the nested Greed-ism in Capitalism.

“*Might*” and its two strong arms: “*Greed-ism*” and “*Realpolitik*” created trouble by violating human rights and then they force the same humans who lost their rights, to pay for violation of their rights.

⁴ Hillary Clinton ADMITS The CIA Started & Funded Al Qaeda:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PJLR1LhxiN0&feature=youtu.be>

In a “*Might-cracy*” the majority of citizens, cede their “*Right of self-sovereignty*” to a group of “*politics-players*” then feed them with their tax money. This group intentionally concedes the rights of the majority to a powerful minority and allows it to impose its will upon the majority.

People who pay all the expenses of this system will again pay for the consequences of the wrong decisions made by a minority of mighty persons. The most dangerous decision of “*Might*” is the launching of a war that a majority of citizens should pay for it with their assets and blood. Of course going to war is the worst decision that it is taken by *politics-players* but their other decisions are not harmless. They make wrong decisions because where wisdom is absent; making the wrong decision is therefore inevitable.

“*Might*” with its *Realpolitik* and *politics-players* creates different crises, each of which sets off its own chain reaction. For solving a man-made crisis “*Might*” creates a new crisis and tries solving each old crisis with a new crisis until they hit and dead-end such as human manufactured global warming crisis confronting our life on Earth. “*Might*” can continue this way for a while but very soon it must admit its inability to solve the most dangerous threat in human history.

“*Might*” started to violate human, animal, and plant rights. It has destroyed nature, it has silenced the humans who dared to criticize its activities, it manipulated law and cheated a majority of humans throughout history but these methods do not work on Earth. Soon if we even decide to turn off all our cars and factories to prevent carbon dioxide emissions, global warming would not stop, because the Earth would continue to follow the chain reaction that humans started.

Under frozen ice of Siberia, inside of *permafrost*⁵ is a very large volume of dead plants, this plants are a potential threat for our climate and could

⁵ In geology, permafrost is soil at or below the freezing point of water 0 °C (32 °F) for two or more years.

result in disaster. When *Permafrost* melts, it emits methane, a gas that is 23 times stronger than carbon dioxide.

The danger is if the permafrost was to melt, as a result of global warming, it could release methane on a massive scale. This would dramatically accelerate global warming far beyond current predictions. Worryingly, in Siberia, there are signs the permafrost is already starting to melt.

Temperatures are rising faster in Siberia than anywhere else on the planet.

It will lead to chain reaction. Global warming causes the permafrost to melt. The more the permafrost melts, the more fermentation happens, the more methane comes out, which intensified global warming. And it feeds back onto itself. And just goes on.

Hope

As long as we live, hope exists within us. We are still thinking. It is our biggest chance to survive and rescue life on Earth. We have made great achievements in science because we could lead our thoughts toward the understanding of natural phenomena. The only thing we need is to repeat more or less the same attempt, but this time we must organize our thoughts to understand the meaning of life and discover the “*Right of Life*”. This process is called wisdom. The lack of wisdom causes destructive instincts that took control of our minds and brought us to this situation that we are now confronting with *Mother Earth*.

We must listen to evolution as an order of the cosmos and let our frontal lobes as the most advanced parts of our brains exert their control on our destructive instincts in the primitive parts of our brains.

We must abandon “*Might*” and with our collective wisdom redefine the concepts that have been distorted by “*Might*”. We should accept the idea: “**Life makes right**” and reject this fact of history: “*Might makes right*”.

The relationship among humans and between humans and nature shall be established on “Right” instead of actual dominant “Might”.

By giving authenticity to right we can create a “Right-pivot worldview” and make ourselves free to repeat and beg our rights from “Might-pivot worldviews”.

Now, we are a divided and confused majority, “Right-pivot worldview” can make us into a united majority. Our confusion emanates from the lack of a *meaning of life* and a noble goal for our life. The achieving of immortality via considering the order of the cosmos will bring an end to our confusion.

When we find our place as individuals in the cosmos, we can start to overthrow “Might” and its arms “Greed-ism” and “Realpolitik”. Then the “**Right route**” or “**permanent developing route**” will render boundless progress to us. In that route we will achieve permanent peace, enduring economic prosperity (no unemployment) and happiness.

Philosophy and *Judiciary* branches in democracies are our two main hopes for rescuing life on Earth. *Philosophy* in theory and *Judiciary* in practice can open the gate to a new era for mankind: **The age of “Right”**.

The *philosophers* can cast a spotlight on “Right and Might” by defining both clearly; untangling complicated issues, and suggesting outlets. *Judiciary* with thousands of judges, prosecuting attorneys and other lawyers can protect “Right” from violations of “Might”.

Chapter VIII

Time for uprising!

With a good understanding of definition of “*Right, Justice, Law, Freedom*” and the interconnections and interactions of these concepts, we can start our action against “*Might*” and its arms “*nested Greed-ism in Capitalism*” and “*Realpolitik*”.

Now, it is time for a nonviolent uprising against “*Might*” as violator of “*Right*”.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights should be accepted as the Manifesto of the “*Right-pivot movement*”. By carefully reading and understanding this document, we will be armed with the most powerful document on Earth that almost nobody dares to deny it openly.

“*Might*” has no moral courage and cannot take responsibility for its crimes against humanity and nature. The lack of moral courage is forcing “*Might*” toward the *double-moral, double-speak* and *double-deed* that are the weaknesses of “*Might*”.

We should use these weaknesses and attack “*Might*” with *right, justice* and *law* that have been defined within the atmosphere of “*Right*”.

The weakest points of “*Might*” are “*Realpolitik*” and its agents “*Politic-players*” in democratic countries. This attack should transform “*Realpolitik*” to politics and dismiss “*Politic-players*” and let politicians remain in the field.

After that, the politicians as a group of wise persons would find a way to control “*Greed-ism in Capitalism*” and stopping *Greed-ism* from violating the human rights, animal rights and plant rights.

Our goal in any circumstance would be equal enforcement of the laws for all citizens as Article 7 of UDHR says:

“All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law.”

The first practice step that would make “Realpolitik” and its agents “Politicians” vulnerable is the abolition of immunity of the politicians.

Immunity and Rights

For a person whose rights have been violated by another person(s) justice means the reinstatement of those rights. The violated right is restored and if it is damaged, the compensation of right(s) is the task of justice. If for any reason such a process cannot be enforced, an injustice has occurred. No matter how many persons have participated in a violation of one person’s right and regardless of which in what positions they are, in order for justice to prevail, the main subject must be whether a right has been violated or not. For example, if seven billion people decide to kill somebody for her or his opinion, it is injustice because they take that persons “right of life” without possibility of returning it.

When a taken right is not returnable, it is injustice. The number of people who participate in an injustice cannot change the fact and persuade us that it is justice because billions decided that it is.

For a person who feels that her or his right has been violated, the most important thing is the *injustice* itself. What does the gender, race, religion or social position of person(s) who has taken her or his right really matter?

Powerful humans throughout our history could violate other persons’ rights and because of their power they have had immunity.

The rulers of human societies; Shamans, Clergymen, Kings, Emperors, and Presidents have violated human rights since the dawn of history. Individuals' rights have been worthless before huge apparatus of governments. The rulers, with or without their “*self-made rules and decrees*” that they call laws, have deprived individual citizens of their rights. Violation of justice in the name of justice and infringement of the law in the name of the law by governments worldwide, have made governments the biggest and the most important violators of human rights. The Rulers' immunity from prosecution does not only belong to the past, this is also a fact of life today. The Rulers can declare war and take human lives and the judiciary system cannot do anything about them, because they enjoy immunity from prosecution.

The leaders of USA and United Kingdom used fake information to go to war against Iraq. The soldiers of these countries fought in Iraq. Many were killed and wounded in the invasion and occupation of Iraq. When the rest of them returned home, they suffered from posttraumatic stress. Approximately 500,000 Iraqis died as a result of the invasion. Millions of Iraqis suffer from posttraumatic stress.

Can the victims of that war demand justice?

-Of course not, because the politicians of USA and United Kingdom have immunity from prosecution.

Who has given this immunity to them?

-The law has given this immunity and allowed them to declare the war.

If *law* is a tool of *Justice*, how could it be a tool for *injustice* at the same time?

-What is called the *law* has been a combination of two antagonistic concepts. One is a tool for exercising justice that deserves to be called “law” and the other a tool for imposing **injustice** upon people that pretends to be “lawful” but it is indeed “*Decrees of Rulers*”, in order to preserving powerful persons' sovereignty.

In democratic societies the individual who participates in general election to choose statesmen, that individual surrenders her or his “*right of sovereignty*” as a trust to the selected politicians to lead the society toward “*Common Righteousness*”.

The individuals vote makes politicians responsible because anybody who receives a valuable thing, as a trustee; is responsible for its safekeeping. The giving of immunity from prosecution to politicians is a big mistake that allows them to abuse public confidence and turn “*Right*” to “*Might*”.

The background of immunity

The first leaders of human societies were either fighters or shamans. The first ones had the ability to fight (= violation) and second group had a relationship with metaphysics. Later these two leaders developed a relationship and divided their tasks. The Fighters managed people’s earthly life, and Shamans as mediators between gods and people managed their spiritual life.

The Fighters and Shamans needed each other to control people. For that reason they created rules. The Shamans conveyed the will of the gods in divine rules that in addition to religious codes, justified the Fighters rules and activities. The Fighters paid them back by protecting the Shamans against heretics by the help of their swords.

The Shamans and Fighters together controlled the minds and behaviors of their societies. It was the birth of “*Might-pivot worldviews*”.

Because of the Shamans relationship with metaphysics and “*direct contact*” with gods they were outstripped from other members of society. Their divine contacts placed them above everyone else and gave them divine immunity. The Shamans added that divine immunity to the Fighters

who achieved supremacy by the force of swords. Both the Shamans and the Fighters remained immune from prosecution.

However, human societies have changed and as primitive religions developed into sophisticated ones and simple management of societies have evolved into complicated government apparatuses but the immunity from prosecution has remained almost intact.

The separation between religions and governments in big parts of the world is a fact but the secular governments yet enjoy a divine immunity, just like thousand of years ago when it was surrendered to them by religious leaders.

However, the form of governing has changed dramatically and the rulers from the Fighters with swords in hands, transformed into politicians with smiles on their lips, but despite so many different changes in human societies, the immunity from prosecution remained constant. Despite all political turbulences each new generations of statesmen found immunity from prosecution as a great advantage and clutched it firmly, no matter how much they talked about *equality*, *liberty* and *fraternity* before gaining office.

Of course there are justifications for immunity of politicians, for example at the dawn of history of modern democracies, the members of parliament for doing their tasks and defend rights of their constituents, should have immunity from prosecution so they could try and do their best for people (who elected them) without fear of punishment. In that time the tyranny of kings and aristocrats threatened the security of representatives of people. The immunity of elected politicians was a reaction to the tyrants who for thousands of years enjoyed immunity as divine right.

Even during that period, the immunity of elected politicians from prosecution by citizens who elected them was meaningless, because people gave their right of sovereignty to politicians and had a right to

control elected politicians and ask them about details of their actions. The right of sovereignty like other human rights is a very valuable thing and anybody who receives such a valuable thing as a trust, is responsible for it. The etymology of responsible and responsibility demands; the receiver(s) the right(s) must respond, answer to, or promise in return⁶.

When democracy was established as a system and the tyranny of kings and aristocrats vanished; the elected politicians no longer needed protection against their threats; but immunity from prosecution protected them against people's lawsuits. The immunity of elected politicians that once allowed them to defend people's rights became a tool for depriving people of their rights. The elected politicians took the place of classic tyrants and made political parties and politicians into the new tyrants and aristocrats. There are no reasonable reasons for confiscation of rights of people by persons who are elected to protect those rights.

In the atmosphere of "Might" tyranny reproduces itself. It only changes in colors and features. For "*Greed-ridden capitalists*" the politicians' immunity from prosecution makes governments hotbeds for corruption. The immunity allows big companies to deal with politicians and lead their laws to favor the wealthy families and different companies.

The immunity and corruption

Each violation of human rights should be prosecuted, whether the violator is a citizen or an elected politician. Exempting politicians from prosecution leads to corruption. When politicians govern their countries and bureaucratic apparatuses are directly under their command, the corruption of politicians is prevalent among bureaucrats and in other parts of societies.

⁶ Responsible "answerable" is from the Latin "respons", past participle stem of "responderē" that means "respond, answer to, promise in return," from re- "back" + spondere "to pledge".

The politicians who enjoy unjustly immunity from prosecution, render the same immunity to their bureaucrats and let them violate daily the rights of individual citizens without justice⁷.

The individual who once was deprived of her or his rights by unelected rulers before modern democratic political system, in modern democracy deprives of her or his rights by elected rulers and their bureaucrats.

In February 2015 the reporters for **The Daily Telegraph** and **Channel 4's Dispatches** posed as a staff member of a fake Chinese firm and examined two major figures of the two biggest parties in the UK, Labour's Jack Straw and Conservative Sir Malcolm Rifkind. Both of them accepted bribes for their service:

"Mr. Straw, one of Labour's most senior figures, boasted that he operated "under the radar" to use his influence to change European Union rules on behalf of a commodity firm which pays him £60,000 a year.

On the subject of payment, Mr. Straw is heard saying: "So normally, if I'm doing a speech or something, it's £5,000 a day, that's what I charge."

Conservative Sir Malcolm Rifkind, MP for Kensington and chairman of Parliaments Intelligence and Security Committee was recorded saying: *"I am self-employed - so nobody pays me a salary. I have to earn my income."* He said his usual fee for half a day's work was *"somewhere in the region of £5,000 to £8,000"*.

Sir Malcolm is paid £67,000 a year and about telling the company he was not paid a salary Sir Malcolm said it was a *"silly thing to say"*.

The "politic-player" is the *double-moral, double-speak* and *double-deed* person who needs the *double-payment*. The taxpayers of the UK pay them salary to legislate, and provide justice. The alleged politicians sell the

⁷ The reasons of immunity of bureaucrats are even weaker than the reasons given for politicians. I wrote about it, in the book "Democratic Solution, instead of Bureaucratic Remedy / A glance at bureaucracy and Democracy". For that reason I will not go into this matter any further in this book.

rights of majority of society to a minority of wealthy persons and companies.

This examination raises a few questions:

How do they receive their bribes and what they do with them?

How in practice the corrupted politicians or “politic-players” play their double roles without the ordinary citizen noticing their manipulations and again voting for them?

What happens to corrupt politicians when such a scandal is revealed?

There is no anxiety among the politicians about bribes they receive. The tax havens are the best place for them to be saving their dirty money. We must never forget that politicians are law-makers, as we later will explain they enact double-face anti-money laundering and anti-tax evasion laws that allow them to misuse their countries’ financial and banking system for financial activities.

Sometimes journalists or whistleblowers reveal their corruption but the scandal storm subsides after a while.

A few weeks before the scandal of Jack Straw and Sir Malcolm Rifkind, the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), with the help of *Herve Falciani*, a former IT employee of HSBC's Swiss private bank, revealed how this bank gives services to corrupt persons and companies.

Reuters, on February 9, 2015, mentioned about the list:

“The client list included royalty such as Morocco's King Mohammed, politicians, corporate executives including former Santander chairman Emilio Botin, who died last year, and wealthy families.

It also listed arms dealers, people linked to former dictators and traffickers in blood diamonds, and several individuals on the current U.S. sanctions list...

HSBC also marketed schemes which were likely to enable wealthy clients to avoid European taxes and colluded with some to conceal undeclared accounts from domestic tax authorities, the Guardian added.”

The reaction of authorities of Switzerland who let such scandal in their financial system is contemplative:

“Switzerland has charged Falciani with industrial espionage and breaching the country's secrecy laws. Falciani could not be reached for comment on Monday but has previously told Reuters he is a whistleblower trying to help governments track down citizens who used Swiss accounts to evade tax. “

“Espionage” is a constant accusation by “Realpolitik’s agents” to discourage the whistleblowers, wherever they reveal law infringement or corruption. There is no difference between a superpower like the USA and a small country like Switzerland.

All governments are making the same accusations as the USA and Switzerland have made against *Edward Snowden* and *Herve Falciani*.

The reaction of the politicians in UK about the scandal of HSBC was so:

“David Gauke, a Conservative lawmaker and a junior minister in the finance ministry, criticized HSBC and said the case lifted the lid on poor banking behavior at the time.

Margaret Hodge, a senior opposition Labour Party lawmaker, said UK tax authorities had done too little.”

The stereotypical reaction from two politicians from different parties: Because the Conservative Party was in power, its member David Gauke blamed HSBC; but Margaret Hodge from the opposition Labour Party criticized the government.

At the same time as this occurred they expressed their opinion about the scandal of HSBC, two major figures of their parties, Sir Malcolm Rifkind and Jack Straw were dealing with bribes from a fake Chinese firm.

Mr. Jack Straw offered *to use his influence to change European Union rules on behalf of a commodity firm which pays him £60,000 a year.*

How could he “*change European Union rules*” in favor of companies without having the public notice it?

Over the centuries, “Politicians” have learned many tricks to advance their interests and convince that it is in people’s interest. Their activities somehow are like the work of magicians. A magician amazes us by doing strange things in front of our eyes and even though we can see what they do, we often cannot explain how they do such things. Of course each magic trick has its explanation.

Here I reveal one of many financial laws that they were enacted by lawmakers like Jack Straw. This law’s full title is:

- Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing.

This Directive later became law in the European Union’s member states. This law instead of “***preventing***” the money laundering has expanded it. Most financial laws since 1990 are false and a façade. Such laws increased the gap between rich and poor. Such laws let the rich people avoid paying a fair tax. For example the shadow economy in Sweden, a member of EU, in 1990 was 3.8 percent of GNP; this soared to 14 percent of GNP in 2013. It means in 23 years the shadow economy increased by 268 percent. But how does an anti-money laundering law expand money laundering?

-The law-makers manipulate the law. They make a false and a façade law and impose it upon decent taxpayers and simultaneously let tax evasion and money laundering remain open to “*corrupt politicians, corporate executives, wealthy families, arms dealers, and the wealthy person*” who has a desire to avoid taxes and launder their money.

Law makers like Jack Straw and Sir Malcolm Rifkind have three magic tricks:

1- Making a façade law that from the outside seems like a law, but in reality it should be called a “**collective of words and articles that lead nowhere**”.

2- If people noticed this trick, and lawmakers were forced to enact a proper law, they should just avoid establishing a bureaucratic organization to enforce the law.

3- If such an organization is already established, they should make it ineffective with poor management.

Sweden’s law-makers enacted a Swedish version of the EU directive in 2009. With full title:

“Law (2009:62) about measures against money laundering and financing terrorism”

However the first article of the EU directive stipulates that “*Member States shall ensure that money laundering and terrorist financing are prohibited*” but Swedish lawmakers without prohibiting money laundering wrote a law and imposed it on honest citizens and companies who had never laundered money before. Simultaneously their anti-money laundering law allowed wealthy families, wealthy persons and big companies to avoid taxes and transfer their money to tax havens. Corrupt politicians use the same system to receive bribes and commissions.

The corruption of politicians and authorities is not limited to one or two countries. It is result of “*Might*” and its arms, “*Greed-ism*” and “*Realpolitik*”.

“*Realpolitik*” makes politicians vulnerable to corruption and transforms many of them from politicians to “*politic-players*”. A person who enters politics must be very strong to avoid the temptation of bribes because there

is no real control from the outside on them. Unreasonable immunity for elected politicians makes them corrupt, because powerless citizens cannot sue politicians and bureaucrats.

The manipulation of financial laws is putting the cost of the management of countries on ordinary people while a tiny wealthy minority avoids paying their share of taxes. During the first financial crisis in the twenty-first century, according to Oxfam:

“Number of billionaires doubles since financial crisis as inequality spirals out of control”

Another international organization, “One” that fights against extreme poverty reported: each year that corruption kills 3.6 million people, because authorities steal money from those countries’ infrastructure.

Abolition of immunity to prosecution

Three kinds of controls shall stop people from violating the rights of others: “Moral control, Peer pressure and Law control”.

The best and most effective control is the self-control based on moral codes.

“Realpolitik” is the best place for *lying, cheating, roguery and conspiracy* because moralities have no place in *“Realpolitik”*. The “politic-players” pretend have morals but behind their masks **they are corrupt people who have no moral commitments.**

In *“Realpolitik”* a majority of “politic-players” are corrupted. Few politicians who do not like to be participating directly in corruption, for reason of friendship, membership in same party, and fear of revenge by corrupted colleagues cannot put pressure on the majority. Then **there is no “peer pressure” on corrupt politic-players.**

The immunity to prosecution means that citizens cannot sue the corrupt politicians. If the politicians' corruptions are revealed accidentally, as with Jack Straw and Sir Malcolm Rifkind, the subject refers to their fellow politicians in parliament where its members often react with measures like suspension. This does not mean justice. A citizen who has chosen to be a politician, for years betrays the people and once it reveals, she or he gets to move to another post, or resigns and enjoys a life with dirty money that she or he have saved. This is nowhere near the meaning of justice. **There is no law to sue the “politic-players” for abusing confidence.**

This is not justice and it will remain so, until immunity to prosecution is abolished and rulers for the first time in history descend to Earth where everyone is equal under the law as demanded by common sense and Article 7 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Why are the rights of an individual person so important?

-Because the rights of an individual person, is a human right. Every human born individually, and at her or his moment of birth the world is born with her or him. The individual sits naturally in the center of the cosmos because the world began with her or his birth, and the others are defined for her or him according to their positions in relation to the center of world where the individual sits alone. Each human is individually an independent world. All individual humans are compounded from the same material. For their ingredients' similarity and equality in structure, they are equal in Rights. No individual has more rights than another. The difference in “Might” causes difference in “Rights”. Humans are born with equal “Rights” but with unequal “Might”. The children of “Might-ridden” parents learn how to deprive others of their rights.

The imbalance of rights is against the order of the cosmos, and it is an injustice because justice is a balance of rights. The imbalance of individual

rights creates injustice. If, even one individual is deprived of her or his rights, it is a symptom of contagion that sooner or later spreads to everybody.

The indifference to the violation of the rights of people who are far away from us, or who do not belong to our group, is a dangerous mistake that we have made and still now we are confronted with its consequences. Probably no one could depict such indifference and its consequence better than Martin Niemöller. He said:

*When the Nazis came for the communists,
I remained silent;
I was not a communist.*

*When they locked up the social democrats,
I remained silent;
I was not a social democrat.*

*When they came for the trade unionists,
I did not speak out;
I was not a trade unionist.*

*When they came for the Jews,
I remained silent;
I wasn't a Jew.*

*When they came for me,
There was no one left to speak out.*

The effect of abolition of immunity on *Greed-ism*

The abolition of immunity from prosecution would make a group of irresponsible persons responsible.

The abolition of immunity makes the statesmen vulnerable to prosecution, because each citizen can demand her or his rights from elected officials who have betrayed them.

When each citizen could demand her or his rights by suing statesmen for corruption and human rights violations, the unqualified politicians cannot endure in the politics' field. They would be forced out of politics forever. Each "politic-player" that is convicted, sets an example to other "politic-players" that politics is not an easy business with double-paying.

By removing "politic-players" from politics, true politicians would replace them. "Realpolitik" would transform politics. Whereas politics is the ability to organize the public area and needs wisdom, then politicians must be wise and moral because "**Transcendental Moral**" as the highest level of morality could be found in wise persons.

"*Greed-ism*" loves the "*Politics-players*" because it is very easy to pay them to enact façade laws. Such laws allow "*Greed-ists*" to increase their wealth by not paying tax and passing the costs of running the society to the majority.

The replacement of "*Realpolitik*" and "*Politic-players*" with "***Politics***" and "***Politicians***" will lead to the revision of the financial system, and its laws.

There is no doubt that wise people have two attributes: They seek reasonable answers to reasonable questions, and they take responsibility.

Now, there are many reasonable questions on the work-desk of humanity that need reasonable answers. One of those main questions is: How can humans control their “*Destructive Instincts*” and let wisdom flourish?

The revision of laws and adjusting them to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is necessary but as long as “Realpolitik” and “politic-players” have power, it is impossible. “*Greed-ism*” has them and uses them as an effective weapon. The “*politic-players*” serve the interests of greed-ridden companies. “Realpolitik” and “politic-players” will thwart all attempts to change the status quo.

Globalization and the global economy were not created by idealists who wanted a world without borders and war, where all people live by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Globalization and the global economy are the result of Capitalism and its “Greed-ists”.

“*Greed-ism*” has a presence worldwide and does not only earn money, but also dictates its requests to divided governments in the world.

“*Greed-ism*” and its greed-ridden followers, have common interests: earning money without considering morality and rights. Greed makes them a united minority with a specific goal and coherent system to make maximum profit. When *Greed-ism* feels, in one country, some statesmen are trying to control them in favor for the majority, the *greed-ridden* companies start to create economic problem with threat to move their businesses to other countries. In their economic trouble-making the increasing of unemployment makes people dissatisfied in democratic countries and leads to changing the governments and overthrowing the politicians who desired justice for all.

The huge power of the greed-ridden companies is a harsh reality that idealistic politicians, before direct participation in governing in their countries, cannot feel it. Idealistic politicians can have their own dream about justice and democracy but after gaining office, they see their own weakness and the power of “Greed-ism”.

The idealistic politicians deprived of “*Right-pivot worldview*” would be confused by the situation. They should deal with the powerful greed-ridden persons and companies, because they have control of the minds of the people by using Mass Media. The presence of billionaires as owners of Media is clear and Media’s ability for making a scandal of politicians’ weakness is undeniable.

In such circumstance leaving either politics or Idealism are remained solutions for Idealistic politicians. Often the Idealistic politicians and parties remain in politics to rescue what they can protect from intrusion of “*Might*”. But, after years, they transform gradually and become more like the politicians and parties that they once hated. *Greed-ism* using the carrot and stick (a combination of threat for moving their capital to other countries and bribe) force such politicians and parties to distance themselves from their initial idealism and adjust to *Realpolitik*!

The competition among political parties gives “*Greed-ism*” more of an advantage in all democratic systems and turns “*Democracy*” into “*Might-cracry*” and “*Right*” to “*Might*”.

“*Greed-ism*” abuses of competition among different political parties (all of them deprives of a “*Right-pivot worldview*”) and forces them to reach for governmental power, considering the interests of the powerful greed ridden persons and companies. Of course their interests are against the majority’s interests. As a result, we see rich big companies and wealthy families who avoid paying their fair share of tax. When they do so, the honest tax-payers bear the expense of running the countries.

The different pressures of “*Greed-ism*” on political parties have made them all alike. No matter which one of them runs the government, the result is the same; the continuing of the status quo.

After World War II welfare was more generous in Western-Europe, even though countries were not so rich. However, now after decades we can see that the pressure on the lower class has increased.

During World War II the desire for “*Righteousness*” among people who fought against Nazism was high. On the other side, there was less self-confidence among “greed-ridden” persons and companies that emanated from the actions of Nazism as the far-right wing of Capitalism. The “*Righteousness*” of Syndicalism, Social Democracy and Communism forced “*Greed-ridden capitalists*” to distance themselves from their real wills.

The worst and most dangerous thing for the “*greed-ridden capitalists*” was communism. They were forced to choose between the worst and the bad (Social Democracy). Capitalism chose the bad, and negotiated with Social Democracy about welfare to undermine the Communists.

With the passage of time, *Greed-ism* retrieved its self-confidence. The conflicts in the communist camp and lack of freedom in communist countries accelerated the recovery of self-confidence among *greed-ridden capitalists*.

When the Soviet Union fell, and China accepted the market economy, people’s privileges that imposed on *Greed-ism* by pressure, seemed retrievable. *Greed-ism* started to take back the privileges and with all of its potential, imposed a financial dictatorship on most of the world’s population.

The majority cannot find a way out of this labyrinth. Book after book, research paper after research paper comes to warn about growing gap between poor and rich people.

Neither these facts, nor the requests of famous international figures can do something to change the terrible situation.

“Might” makes humans blind and deaf because it rearranges the brains function and structure by changing hormone’s quantity. The brains of *Might-ridden persons* make them indifferent to pain and suffering of other persons. *Greed-ridden persons* cannot see that their greed kills 3.6 million innocent humans each year.

Greed is some sort of disorder in *Greed-ridden persons*’ brains. They need mental treatment. Their sickness begins when they let their destructive instincts take control of their thoughts. Of course they are able to think even at the highest level, but their “illusory thoughts” are in service of their instincts. Among *Might-ridden persons* there are different ranks from unwise to wicked persons but all of them are capable of telling lies, cheating, and managing conspiracies.

To disarm the economic branch of “Might” to use of the card of competition between countries, the demand for abolition of immunity from prosecution is a first step.

Chapter IX

The Pattern of the New Globalization

The Might-made version of Globalization that works like an international dictatorship of the financial markets, should transform into the New Globalization: “*United Nations of the Earth*” as an international democratic union with “Right” as its guide. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights could be the keystone of our United Nations of the Earth’s constitution. All distorted meanings of the concepts of Right, Justice and Law that have been defined by “*Might*” in the atmosphere of a “*Might-pivot worldview*” should be redefined according to the “*Right*” in the “*Right-pivot worldview*” where the individual human sits at the center of the cosmos.

The United Nations is an international organization founded in 1945 by governments, most of which are not representative of their nations.

According to the Charter of the United Nations *the Purposes of the United Nations are:*

1. To maintain international peace and security, and to that end: to take effective collective measures for the prevention and removal of threats to the peace, and for the suppression of acts of aggression or other breaches of the peace, and to bring about by peaceful means, and in conformity with the principles of justice and international

law, adjustment or settlement of international disputes or situations which might lead to a breach of the peace;

2. To develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples, and to take other appropriate measures to strengthen universal peace;
3. To achieve international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion; and
4. To be a center for harmonizing the actions of nations in the attainment of these common ends.

The UN could never “*maintain international peace and security*” because in the atmosphere of “Might” war and insecurity are the inevitable result of a “*Might-pivot worldview*”.

The UN should be called DG (Divided Governments). It could be enhanced to the “United Nations of the Earth” and would operate like a world parliament. Each person in any corner of the Earth should elect her or his representative and have the right to prosecute any representative who is corrupt or violates human rights.

All education systems worldwide should be revised and made to reflect the equality of all humans. The goals of education should be to make people wise and teach them to control “Destructive Instincts”, so that they do not learn destructive behaviors, like Greed.

The strengthening of “selfless instinct” as a core of the natural moral, by wisdom, would enhance to transcended moral. Moral would exert the most effective control upon “Destructive Instincts”. Moral control would reduce

crime. By reducing crime and the violations of human rights, there would be less need for a government and for the law.

By standardizing financial laws worldwide, a global tax system would cover the Earth. The financial and political transparency, beside the right of individuals to sue the politicians and bureaucrats for abuses of that government's power, would weaken the *greed-ridden* persons and companies who bribe "politic-players" to manipulate the laws.

Dream and reality

From the dawn of our history as humans, we have had many dreams. Our science, as organized thoughts for understanding natural phenomenon, could realize many of our ancestors' dreams. We often use the achievements of our science so naturally that we forget they were dreams once.

Now, let us dream about a world without war and injustice then use our thoughts to reach these dreams. Why not?

If we could once organize our thoughts to understand the natural phenomena, we can also organize them to understand the meaning of life. We can use our thoughts to discover the secret of nature, something that we today call "Science". We could now discover the meaning of life and call it wisdom.

Science and wisdom need each other. Without wisdom, science could be dangerous, because in science, morality has no place. Science teaches us how to break the nucleus of atom and release its huge energy but it does not say how, when and where to use it. The leading of the usage of our scientific achievement is the job of wisdom. Our science and its child "technology", lead us to create "artificial intelligence" that would be a threat against humans in the future.

We have a right to have a dream about a world without war and poverty. All relationship among humans would be established according to right. To realize this dream we need to be wise. The only way to raise wise humans is education.

Every ordinary human is the result of education from other humans but animals obey their instincts. If somebody takes away an animal baby at birth and raises it without contact with its own species the baby would grow up to be very similar to others of the same species. The instincts of a cat or a horse turn them into a cat or a horse, but human need to learn humanity.

Most parents are incapable of raising children. The education system even in the richest countries has serious flaws. Raising children to become wise adults has no place in education systems. Cramming information into the minds of students and making them good employees is the goal of traditional education. A big part of the world's children are deprived of an education.

We need to revise our education system. Cultivating should be the most important part of our revised system. We shall adjust all education system with philosophy of human rights and eliminate materials that teach us to hate people who are not like us.

We should invest more in studies of the brain and teach about brain functions in schools.

I believe we some day can make a world that can be called “humane”. That day could be today if we let our thoughts control our destructive instincts and lead us from “*Might-pivot worldviews*” to a “*Right-pivot worldview*”.

We must let our collective wisdom flourish. A suitable education system is the gate to a new world. With a revised education system in the “*atmosphere of Rights*” we can reach a second “*circumstance zero*” where

we would travel the Universe and give new horizons for mankind's development. Our attempts to reach a second "circumstance zero" have short- and long term consequences. In close perspective the measures for moving toward "circumstance zero" create peace, jobs and economic prosperity.

After reaching "circumstance zero" we would be on a "permanent developing route" which is a long-term achievement, because we would unify our ability for colonizing other planets and put an end to human's dependence of Earth as the only habitation for our species. This means immortality for the human species.